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Abstract. In this paper the first order predicate calculus with the axioms of additive arithmetic is investigated. The conditions of the equivalent replaceability of a double induction axiom is presented.
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## 1. Introduction

In the systems without the restricted difference the axiom of double induction (ADI) is definitely stronger than the usual axiom of induction. J.R. Shoenfield [1] was investigating (by using the models theory) the replaceability of the usual induction rule (RI) with the open induction formula in additive arithmetic, containing the axioms B1-B6 and showed that the axioms B5 and B6 are not provable by RI, but are provable by using the rule of double induction (RDI). J.C. Sheperdson [2] has risen also a question - how strong RDI is.

In this paper we will finish the investigation (which has begun in [3,4] and [5]) of equivalent replaceability of a double induction axiom in the additive arithmetic.

## 2. Description of basic calculi

Let $Z_{0}$ be the sequential variant of the first order predicate calculus with the signature $\{=$ (equality), 0 (zero), ' (successor), P (predecessor), + (plus) $\}$ and usual rules for the logical symbols (see, e.g., [3]); structural rules, cut rule

$$
\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow Z, \mathcal{F} ; \mathcal{F}, \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda}{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow Z, \Lambda}
$$

substitution rules

$$
\frac{\Gamma_{d}^{\alpha}, c=d, \Delta_{d}^{\alpha} \rightarrow Z_{d}^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{c}^{\alpha}, c=d, \Delta_{c}^{\alpha} \rightarrow Z_{c}^{\alpha}}\left(S_{1}\right) \quad \frac{\Gamma_{d}^{\alpha}, d=c, \Delta_{d}^{\alpha} \rightarrow Z_{d}^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{c}^{\alpha}, d=c, \Delta_{c}^{\alpha} \rightarrow Z_{c}^{\alpha}}\left(S_{2}\right)
$$

(where $\Gamma, \Delta, Z, \Lambda$ are finite (probably, empty) sequences of the formulae; the expression $\Gamma_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ denotes substitution $\beta$ for every occurrence of $\alpha$ in every formula of $\Gamma ; \mathcal{F}$ is formula, $t$ is an arbitrary term), axioms

$$
\mathrm{P} 1 . \Gamma, \mathcal{F}, \Delta \rightarrow Z, \mathcal{F}, \Lambda, \quad \mathrm{P} 2 . \Gamma \rightarrow Z, t=t, \Lambda
$$

A1. $\rightarrow t^{\prime} \neq 0$,
A2. $\rightarrow P 0=0$,
A3. $\rightarrow P t^{\prime}=t$,
A4. $\rightarrow t+0=t$,
A5. $\rightarrow t+s^{\prime}=(t+s)^{\prime}$
and the axiom of double induction (ADI):

$$
\forall x \mathcal{A}(x, 0) \& \forall y \mathcal{A}(0, y) \& \forall x y\left[\mathcal{A}(x, y) \supset \mathcal{A}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right] \rightarrow \forall x y \mathcal{A}(x, y)
$$

for all $\exists$-reduced formulae $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$.
Let $\tilde{Z}$ be the system, obtained form $Z_{0}$ by replacement of the ADI by the following axioms:

B1. $\rightarrow t \neq 0 \supset(P t)^{\prime}=t$,
B2. $\rightarrow t+s=s+t$,
B3. $\rightarrow(t+s)+r=t+(s+r)$,
B4. $\rightarrow t+s=t+r \supset s=r$,
B5. $\rightarrow n t=n s \supset t=s, n=2,3, \ldots$,
B6. $\rightarrow m t+n \neq m s, 0<n<m$,
B7. $\rightarrow t \neq s \supset \exists r\left(t+r^{\prime}=s\right) \vee \exists w\left(t=s+w^{\prime}\right)$.
We shall call the formulas of the form $m x+q=t, m y+q=t, m x+n y+q=t$, $m x+q=n y+t$, where $x, y$ are free variables; $m \neq 0, n \neq 0$ are the natural numbers; $q, t$ be a terms, that do not contain the variables $x$ and $y$, substantial elementary formulas and the formulas of the form $x=y \vee \exists c\left(x+c^{\prime}=y\right) \vee \exists c\left(x=c^{\prime}+y\right)-$ $\exists$-elementary formulas of the calculus $Z_{0}$ (also and of the calculus $\tilde{Z}$ ).

The formula $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ of the calculus $\tilde{Z}$ we shall call $\exists$-reduced, if there is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{U}} B_{i}(x, y)=\bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{U}}\left(\underset{j \in R_{1 i}}{\&} \mathcal{E}_{i j}(x, y) \underset{j \in R_{2 i}}{\&} \neg \mathcal{E}_{i j}(x, y) \underset{j \in R_{3 i}}{\&} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i j}(x, y) \& D_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{i j}(x, y)$ are substantial elementary formulas; $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i j}(x, y)$ are $\exists$ - elementary formulas or the negations of theirs; $D_{i}$ is a formula that does not contain variables $x$ and $y ; \mathcal{U}, R_{1 i}, R_{2 i}, R_{3 i}(i \in \mathcal{U})$ are finite subsets of the set of natural numbers, and the definition of the set $\mathcal{U}$ is detailed in such way:

1) $\mathcal{U}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{4} \mathcal{U}_{k}, \bigcap_{k=1}^{4} \mathcal{U}_{k}=\varnothing$,
2) when $i \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$, then $R_{1 i} \neq \varnothing$,
3) when $i \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$, then $R_{1 i}=\varnothing$ and $R_{2 i} \neq \varnothing$,
4) when $i \in \mathcal{U}_{3}$, then $R_{1 i}=R_{2 i}=\varnothing, R_{3 i} \neq \varnothing$,
5) when $i \in \mathcal{U}_{4}$, then $R_{1 i}=R_{2 i}=R_{3 i}=\varnothing$.

## 3. The basic theorems

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ be an $\exists$-reduced formula of the calculus $\tilde{Z}$, then ${ }^{1}$

$$
\stackrel{\vdash_{\tilde{Z}}}{ } A D I .
$$

Really, if the induction formula $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ is open, then we shall in usual way (see, e.g., [3]) reconstruct it into the disjunctive normal form

$$
\bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{U}} B_{i}(x, y)=\bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{U}}\left(\underset{j \in R_{1 i}}{\&} \mathcal{E}_{i j}(x, y) \underset{j \in R_{2 i}}{\&} \neg \mathcal{E}_{i j}(x, y) \& D_{i}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{i j}(x, y)$ are substantial elementary formulas; $\mathcal{U}, R_{1 i}, R_{2 i}(i \in \mathcal{U})$ are finite subsets of the set of natural numbers; $D_{i}$ is a formula that does not contain variables $x$ and $y$.

If $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ is an $\exists$-reduced formula, its d.n.f. has a shape (1).
Let $\eta$ be the number of the elements of the $\operatorname{set} \mathcal{U} ; \mu_{i}$ be the number of the conjuncts in the disjunct $B_{i}(x, y)$ and we shall mark

$$
\sigma=\eta+\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \eta} \mu_{i}
$$

The consequences of the sequent

$$
\forall x \mathcal{A}(x, 0) \& \forall y \mathcal{A}(0, y) \& \forall x y\left[\mathcal{A}(x, y) \supset \mathcal{A}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right] \rightarrow \forall x y \mathcal{A}(x, y)
$$

are the sequents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\xi=0}{\mu_{i}}\left(B_{i}\left(\nu_{\xi}+\varepsilon, \nu_{\xi}\right) \& B_{i}\left(\tau_{\xi}+\omega, \tau_{\xi}\right)\right), \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma \rightleftharpoons \forall x \mathcal{A}(x, 0) \& \forall y \mathcal{A}(0, y) \& \forall x y\left[\mathcal{A}(x, y) \supset \mathcal{A}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right] ; \quad \Delta \rightleftharpoons \mathcal{A}(a, b) ; a, b$ be parameters that do not occur in $\Gamma ; \nu, \tau, \varepsilon, \omega, \xi \in N ; \omega \neq \varepsilon ; \nu_{l} \neq \nu_{k}, \tau_{l} \neq \tau_{k}$, if $l \neq k ; l, k \in N$.

For the sequent (2) are possible the following cases: $i \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$ or $i \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$, then proof of the sequent (2) is constructed analogously, as in [4] (see Lemma 2 and Theorem 2). If $i \in \mathcal{U}_{3}$, then $R_{1 i}=R_{2 i}=\emptyset$ and

$$
B_{i}(x, y)=\underset{j \in R_{3 i}}{\&} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i j}(x, y) \& D_{i}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i j}(x, y)$ are an $\exists$-elementary formulas or the negations of theirs; $D_{i}$ is a formula that does not contain variables $x$ and $y$. Let

$$
R_{3 i}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{4} R_{3 i_{k}}, \quad \bigcap_{k=1}^{4} R_{3 i_{k}}=\emptyset .
$$

[^0]If $j \in R_{3 i_{1}}$ (analogously, $\left.R_{3 i_{2}} ; \quad R_{3 i_{3}} ; \quad R_{3 i_{4}}\right)$ then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i j}(x, y)=\exists c_{i j}\left(x+c_{i j}^{\prime}=\right.$ $y)\left(\exists c_{i j}\left(x=c_{i j}^{\prime}+y\right) ; \neg \exists c_{i j}\left(x+c_{i j}^{\prime}=y\right) ; \neg \exists c_{i j}\left(x=c_{i j}^{\prime}+y\right)\right)$.

Let $R_{3 i_{1}} \neq \emptyset$, then we can get from the sequent (2) the sequent $\rightarrow(\varepsilon+h)^{\prime} \neq 0$, i.e., the axiom A1. Analogously, if $R_{3 i_{1}}=\emptyset, R_{3 i_{2}} \neq \emptyset$. In the cases $R_{3 i_{1}}=R_{3 i_{2}}=$ $\emptyset, R_{3 i_{3}} \neq$ or $R_{3 i_{4}} \neq \emptyset$, the consequences of the sequent (2) are

$$
a+q^{\prime}=b, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \quad \text { or } \quad a=q^{\prime}+b, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta
$$

(where $q$ is a term that not occur in $\Gamma$ ). These sequents can be proved in analogical way like in Theorem 1 of [3].

THEOREM 2. The calculus $Z_{0}$ and $\tilde{Z}$ are equivalent:

$$
Z_{0} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{Z}
$$

Proof. Part I. The axiom ADI with $\exists$-reduced induction formula $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ is provable in the calculus $\tilde{Z}$ by Theorem 1 .

Part II. We must show that the all axioms of the calculus $\tilde{Z}$ are provable in the calculus $Z_{0}$. The axioms B1-B4 are provable by the usual induction axiom (see, e.g., [1,2]), so they all the more are provable by the ADI. The axioms B5, B6 can not be proved by the usual induction axiom, we can prove them by ADI (see [4]). Let

$$
\mathcal{A}(t, s) \rightleftharpoons t \neq s \supset \exists r\left(t+r^{\prime}=s\right) \vee \exists \omega\left(t=\omega^{\prime}+s\right)
$$

then basis for the axiom B7 is the sequent

$$
\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(t, 0), \quad \text { i.e., } \rightarrow t \neq 0 \supset\left(\exists r\left(t+r^{\prime}=0\right) \vee \exists \omega\left(t=\omega^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

and from that follow $\rightarrow t \neq 0 \supset t=(P t)^{\prime}$, i.e., the axiom B1. The provability of the sequent $\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(0, s)$ is showed analogously.

Induction step. The proof of the sequent

$$
\rightarrow(t \neq s \supset \Gamma) \supset\left(t^{\prime} \neq s^{\prime} \supset \Delta\right)
$$

$\left(\right.$ where $\left.\Gamma \rightleftharpoons \exists r\left(t+r^{\prime}=s\right) \vee \exists w\left(t=s+w^{\prime}\right) ; \Delta \rightleftharpoons \exists r\left(t^{\prime}+r^{\prime}=s^{\prime}\right) \vee \exists w\left(t^{\prime}=s^{\prime}+w^{\prime}\right)\right)$ consisting of the branches:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rightarrow t^{\prime} \neq s^{\prime} \supset t \neq s, \Delta ; \quad t^{\prime} \neq s^{\prime}, \exists r\left(t+r^{\prime}=s\right) \rightarrow \exists r\left(t^{\prime}+r^{\prime}=s^{\prime}\right) \\
t^{\prime} \neq s^{\prime}, \exists \omega\left(t=\omega^{\prime}+s\right) \rightarrow \exists \omega\left(t^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime}+s^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The all of theirs can be reduced to the sequents

$$
\rightarrow t^{\prime}=t^{\prime} ; \quad \rightarrow s^{\prime}=s^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \rightarrow t^{\prime}=t^{\prime}
$$

accordingly, i.e., to the axiom P2.
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## REZIUMĖ

## L. Maliaukienė. Apie ekvivalentu dvigubos indukcijos aksiomos pakeičiamuma

Nagrinėjamas ekvivalentus dvigubos indukcijos aksiomos (ADI) pakeičiamumas pirmos eilès predikatų skaičiavime su lygybe ir papildomais simboliais $\left\{0,{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{P},+\right\}$. Pateikiama baigtiné, neturinti ADI, aksiomų sistema, ekvivalenti pradiniam skaičiavimui.

Raktiniai žodžiai: sekvencinis pirmos eilès predikatų skaičiavimas, adicinė aritmetika, dvigubos indukcijos aksioma.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The expression $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\vdash} Q$ will denote, that the object $Q$ is deducible in the calculus $Z$.

