

## Note on arithmetical functions and multiples

Vilius STAKĖNAS (VU)

e-mail: vilius.stakenas@mif.vu.lt

**Abstract.** The existence of the logarithmic and number-theoretic densities of some sets related to arithmetical functions is investigated. The Dirichlet convolution is used for the representation of these functions.

*Keywords:* arithmetical functions, Dirichlet convolution, multiples.

The set of arithmetical functions  $\mathcal{A} = \{f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  with the Dirichlet convolution

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

is a ring of functions with the unity element  $e(n)$ , where  $e(1) = 1$  and  $e(n) = 0$  if  $n > 1$ . We denote as usual by  $\mu(n)$  the Möbius function, and by  $\omega(n)$ ,  $\Omega(n)$  the numbers of primes dividing  $n$  counted without and with multiplicity. We use the concepts of additive and multiplicative functions in the usual number-theoretic sense.

An arbitrary arithmetical function  $f$  can be viewed as a result of convolution of some arithmetical function  $w$  and the constant function  $I(n) = 1$ :

$$f(n) = (w * I)(n) = \sum_{d|n} w(d), \quad w(d) = (f * \mu)(d).$$

We use this representation as generic and write  $f(n) = f(n|w)$ . It is our aim to investigate some relations between the conditions set on  $w$  and properties of  $f(n|w)$ .

It is easy to find out which functions  $w(n)$  generate additive or multiplicative functions  $f(n|w)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *The function  $f(n|w)$  is multiplicative if and only if  $w(n)$  is multiplicative.*

*The function  $f(n|w)$  is additive if and only if  $w(n) = 0$  for all  $n$  with the condition  $\omega(n) \neq 1$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement can be found in most textbooks of number theory.

Let us prove the second statement. It is obvious, that the conditions on  $w$  imply additivity of  $f(n|w)$ . We prove that these conditions are necessary. It can be done easily by induction over the values of  $\Omega(n)$ . Obviously,  $f(1) = w(1) = 0$ . If  $\Omega(n) = \omega(n) = 2$ , then  $n = pq$ , where  $p, q$  are both primes. If  $f(n|w)$  is additive, then

$$f(n|w) = f(p|w) + f(q|w) = w(p) + w(q) = w(p) + w(q) + w(pq),$$

and  $w(n) = 0$  follows. Let the statement be true for all  $n$  with the condition  $2 \leq \omega(n) \leq \Omega(n) \leq m$ . Let for some  $n$ ,  $\omega(n) \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega(n) = m + 1$ . Then  $n = n' p^a$ , where  $p$  is prime and  $(n', p) = 1$ . We have

$$f(n|w) = f(n') + f(p^a) = \sum_{d'|n'} w(d') + \sum_{b \leq a} w(p^b) + \sum_{\substack{\delta|n', \delta > 1 \\ 1 \leq b \leq a}} w(\delta p^b).$$

The last sum is zero and for each  $\delta p^b$ , except for the largest  $\delta p^b = n' p^a$ , the condition  $2 \leq \omega(\delta p^b) \leq \Omega(\delta p^b) \leq m$  is satisfied. Hence  $w(\delta p^b) = 0$ , and  $w(n) = w(n' p^a) = 0$ . The theorem is proved.

For an arbitrary subset of natural numbers  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  we denote the set of multiples

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 0 \pmod{a}\}.$$

If  $w(d) \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $A_w = \{d : w(d) = 1\}$ , then  $f(n|w) > 0$  holds only if  $n \in \mathcal{M}(A_w)$ . The value of  $f(n|w)$ , if  $f(n|w) > 0$ , can be interpreted as the „weight" of the multiple  $n$  in the obvious sense.

We introduce two systems of densities. If  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $x > 1$ , let us denote

$$\nu_x\{A\} = \frac{\#(A \cap (0, x])}{[x]}, \quad \lambda_x\{A\} = L^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n \in A \\ n \leq x}} \frac{1}{n}, \quad L = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n}.$$

We denote the lower and the upper limits of  $\nu_x\{A\}, \lambda_x\{A\}$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , by  $\underline{\nu}\{A\}, \overline{\nu}\{A\}, \underline{\lambda}\{A\}, \overline{\lambda}\{A\}$ , respectively. It is well known that for all subsets  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$

$$\underline{\nu}\{A\} \leq \underline{\lambda}\{A\} \leq \overline{\lambda}\{A\} \leq \overline{\nu}\{A\}.$$

If  $\underline{\nu}\{A\} = \overline{\nu}\{A\}$ , we denote this value by  $\nu\{A\}$  and say that  $A$  possess the number-theoretic density. If  $\underline{\lambda}\{A\} = \overline{\lambda}\{A\} = \lambda\{A\}$ , we say that  $A$  has the logarithmic density.

We are going to prove some facts about the existence of densities for the sets  $\{n : f(n|w) \geq z\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If the function  $w(n)$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{w(d) \neq 0} \frac{1}{d} < \infty,$$

*then for any  $z$  the density  $\nu\{n : f(n|w) \geq z\}$  exists.*

*Proof.* Let  $d_1 < d_2 < \dots$  be the sequence of all numbers with the property  $w(d) \neq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $N$  be some number such that

$$\sum_{j > N} \frac{1}{d_j} \leq \epsilon.$$

Define a function  $w^*$  taking  $w^*(d) = w(d)$ , if  $d = d_j$  with  $j \leq N$ , and  $w^*(d) = 0$  otherwise. Then

$$\overline{\nu}\{n: f(n|w) \neq f(n|w^*)\} \leq \sum_{j>N} \frac{1}{d_j} \leq \epsilon,$$

and

$$\underline{\nu}\{f(n|w^*) \geq z\} - \epsilon \leq \underline{\nu}\{f(n|w) \geq z\} \leq \overline{\nu}\{f(n|w) \geq z\} \leq \overline{\nu}\{f(n|w^*) \geq z\} + \epsilon.$$

Hence, it suffices to show the existence of  $\nu\{f(n|w^*) \geq z\}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N\}$ . For each non-empty subset  $D \subset \mathbb{D}$  we denote by  $m(D)$  the least common multiple of numbers in  $D$ . The numbers  $m(D_1), m(D_2)$  indexed by different subsets  $D_1, D_2$  are not necessarily different. We avoid repetitions in the following way: if  $a$  is some number in the sequence, find all numbers  $m(D_j) = a$  and remove them, except the number indexed by  $\cup D_j$ , i. e. leave the number  $a = m(\cup D_j)$ . Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be the set of all remaining (different) numbers with  $M = m(\mathbb{D})$  the largest of them.

If  $n \equiv m \pmod{M}$  with some  $m \in \mathbb{M}$ , then  $f(n|w^*) = f(m|w^*)$ ; if  $m \notin \mathbb{M}$ , then  $f(n|w^*) = 0$ . Hence for all values  $a$  the densities  $\nu\{f(n|w^*) = a\}$  exist, and this suffices for the proof.

We turn now to the question of existence  $\lambda\{f(n|w) \geq z\}$ . We use in our reasoning the fact established by Erdős and Davenport: for any subset  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  the set of multiples  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  has the logarithmic density (see [3]; [4] Th. 12, p.258; [5] Th. 02, p.5).

**THEOREM 3.** *If the function  $w(n)$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{w(d)<0} \frac{1}{d} < \infty,$$

*then for any  $z$  the density  $\lambda\{n: f(n|w) \geq z\}$  exists.*

*Proof.* As in the proof of the previous theorem we reduce the proof to the case of function  $w$  with the finite number of  $d$  satisfying  $w(d) < 0$ . Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the set of all  $d$  such that  $w(d) < 0$ . We repeat all the arguments of the proof of the previous theorem leading from the set  $\mathbb{D}$  to the set of different multiples  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $M = m(\mathbb{D})$ .

Let  $w_+(d) = \max\{w(d), 0\}$ ,  $w_-(d) = \min\{w(d), 0\}$ . Then

$$f(n|w) = f(n|w_-) + f(n|w_+).$$

The function  $f(n|w_+)$  has a nice property: for every  $u$

$$\mathcal{M}(\{n: f(n|w_+) \geq u\}) = \{n: f(n|w_+) \geq u\}.$$

Hence we get from the Erdős-Davenport result that  $\lambda\{n: f(n|w_+) \geq u\}$  exists.

Note, that if  $m \in \mathbb{M}$  and  $n \equiv m \pmod{M}$ , then

$$f(n|w) = f(n|w_-) + f(n|w_+) = f(m|w_-) + f(m|w_+), \quad f(m|w_-) < 0. \quad (1)$$

If  $m \notin \mathbb{M}$ , then (1) holds with  $f(m|w_-) = 0$ , too. This gives a chance to split the set  $\{n: f(n|w) \geq z\}$  into disjunctive parts:

$$\{n: f(n|w) \geq z\} = \bigcup_{m=0}^{M-1} \{n: n \equiv m \pmod{M}, f(n|w_+) \geq z - f(m|w_-)\}.$$

We conclude the proof using the following helpful fact: if  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $q, Q$  are some natural numbers, then the logarithmic density

$$\lambda\{n: n \equiv q \pmod{Q}, n \in \mathcal{M}(A)\}$$

exists. In the case  $(q, Q) = 1$  it is proved in [5] (Lemma 1.17, p.61). To show, that it holds as  $(q, Q) > 1$ , is easy. Observe now that with  $u = z - f(m|w_-)$  in the definition of the set

$$\{n: n \equiv m \pmod{M}, f(n|w_+) \geq u\},$$

the condition  $f(n|w_+) \geq u$  can be replaced by  $n \in \mathcal{M}(\{n: f(n|w_+) \geq u\})$ ; hence this set has the logarithmic density. The proof is complete.

Now we look for an example of function such that for  $A_z = \{n: f(n|w) \geq z\}$  the density  $\lambda\{A_z\}$  exists, but  $\bar{\nu}\{A_z\} - \underline{\nu}\{A_z\} > 0$  for each  $z$ . In the construction of such function we use the following result of Erdős ([2]):

$$\nu\{\mathcal{M}([T; 2T])\} \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

here  $[T; 2T)$  means the set of natural numbers in this interval. The existence of densities in (2) can be proved using the combinatorial including-excluding principle, which works because of finiteness of  $[T; 2T)$ .

Let  $k \geq 1$  be some natural number. We have, obviously, that

$$\mathcal{M}([T; 2^k T]) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{M}([2^j T; 2^{j+1} T]).$$

It follows then from (2) that

$$\nu\{\mathcal{M}([T; 2^k T])\} \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $c > 0$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$  be some real numbers. There exists some function  $f(n|w)$  such that for all  $z \geq c$  the densities  $\lambda\{n: f(n|w) \geq z\}$  exist, and  $\bar{\nu}\{n: f(n|w) \geq z\} - \underline{\nu}\{n: f(n|w) \geq z\} \geq \delta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be some natural number such that  $1 - 2^{-k} \geq (1 + \delta)/2$  and  $\epsilon = (1 - \delta)/2$ . According to (3) we can choose the sequence of natural numbers  $T_m, T_{m+1} > 2^k T_m$  with the conditions

$$\sum_m \nu\{\mathcal{M}([T_m; 2^k T_m])\} < \epsilon/2,$$

$$\nu_x\{\mathcal{M}([T_m; 2^k T_m])\} < 2 \cdot \nu\{\mathcal{M}([T_m; 2^k T_m])\} \text{ as } x \geq T_{m+1}.$$

Let  $z_1 = c, z_1 < z_2 < \dots$  be an arbitrary unbounded sequence. We define a function  $w(d)$  taking  $w(d) = z_m$ , if  $d \in [T_m; 2^k T_m)$ , and  $w(d) = 0$ , if  $d \notin \cup_m [T_m; 2^k T_m)$ . The existence of  $\lambda\{f(n|w) \geq z\}$  follows from the previous theorem.

For fixed  $z \geq c$  find some  $z_m$  such that  $z \leq z_m$ . Obviously,

$$\nu_x\{f(n|w) \geq z_m\} \leq \nu_x\{f(n|w) \geq z\} \leq \nu_x\{f(n|w) \geq c\}.$$

We show that  $\bar{\nu}\{n: f(n|w) \geq z_m\} \geq 1 - 2^{-k}$  and  $\underline{\nu}\{n: f(n|w) \geq c\} \leq \epsilon$ . The second inequality follows from

$$\nu_{T_m}\{n: f(n|w) \geq c\} = \nu_{T_m}\{\cup_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{M}([T_j, 2^k T_j])\} \leq 2 \sum_{j < m} \nu\{\mathcal{M}([T_j, 2^k T_j])\} < \epsilon.$$

We obtain the first one using the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{2^k T_{m+j}}\{n: f(n|w) \geq z_m\} &\geq \nu_{2^k T_{m+j}}\{\mathcal{M}([T_{m+j}, 2^k T_{m+j}])\} \\ &= \frac{2^k T_{m+j} - T_{m+j}}{2^k T_{m+j}} = 1 - 2^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

This suffices for the proof.

We are now going to interpret the Behrend inequality for the set of multiples in the context of arithmetical functions. Let  $A, B$  be arbitrary subsets of natural numbers. The Behrend inequality is

$$1 - \lambda\{\mathcal{M}(A \cup B)\} \geq (1 - \lambda\{\mathcal{M}(A)\}) \cdot (1 - \lambda\{\mathcal{M}(B)\}), \quad (4)$$

see [1]; [5] Th. 012, p.15.

Let now  $f(n|w_1), f(n|w_2)$  be two functions and  $w_i(d) \geq 0$  for all  $d$ . With some fixed  $z_1, z_2$  denote

$$A = \{n: f(n|w_1) \geq z_1\}, \quad B = \{n: f(n|w_2) \geq z_2\}.$$

Because of  $\mathcal{M}(A) = A, \mathcal{M}(B) = B, \mathcal{M}(A \cup B) = A \cup B$ , the sets possess the logarithmic densities. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \lambda\{A\} &= \lambda\{n: f(n|w_1) < z_1\}, \\ 1 - \lambda\{B\} &= \lambda\{n: f(n|w_2) < z_2\}, \\ 1 - \lambda\{A \cup B\} &= \lambda\{n: f(n|w_1) < z_1, f(n|w_2) < z_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now from (4) we obtain

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $w_1(d) \geq 0, w_2(d) \geq 0$ , then for all  $z_1, z_2$*

$$\lambda\{f(n|w_1) < z_1\} \cdot \lambda\{f(n|w_2) < z_2\} \leq \lambda\{f(n|w_1) < z_1, f(n|w_2) < z_2\}. \quad (5)$$

Evidently, (5) can be rewritten for more than two functions.

For which additive or multiplicative functions  $f_1(n) = f(n|w_1)$ ,  $f_2(n|w_2)$  inequality (5) holds? Having in mind Theorem 1, we derive quickly the sufficient condition: it suffices that for any prime  $p$

$$0 \leq f_i(p) \leq f_i(p^2) \leq \dots, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

holds. If the sets  $\{n: f_i(n) < z_i\}$ ,  $\{n: f_1(n) < z_1, f_2(n) < z_2\}$  possess the number-theoretic densities they can be used in (5) instead of logarithmic ones. For example, let  $P_1, P_2$  be some arbitrary subsets of prime numbers; define the additive functions

$$f_i(n) = \sum_{p \in P_i, p^\alpha | n} (\alpha - 1), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then for all  $z_1, z_2$

$$\nu\{n: f_1(n) < z_1\} \cdot \nu\{n: f_2(n) < z_1\} \leq \nu\{n: f_1(n) < z_1, f_2(n) < z_2\}.$$

### References

1. F.A. Behrend, Generalization of an inequality of Heilbronn and Rohrbach, *B. Amer. Math. soc.*, **54**, 681–684.
2. P. Erdős, Note on sequences of integers no one of which is divisible by any other, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **10**, 126–128 (1935).
3. H. Davenport, P. Erdős, On sequences of positive integers, *Acta Arith.*, **2**, 147–151 (1937).
4. H. Halberstam, K.F. Roth, *Sequences*, Oxford University Press (1966).
5. R.R. Hall, *Sets of Multiples*, Cambridge University Press (1996).

### REZIUOMĖ

#### V. Stakėnas. Pastaba apie aritmetines funkcijas ir kartotinius

Straipsnyje nagrinėjamas kartotinių aibių ir aritmetinių funkcijų ryšys. Įrodomi teiginiai apie aritmetinių funkcijų reikšmių asimptotinius dažnius.

*Raktiniai žodžiai:* aritmetinės funkcijos, kartotiniai.