The discounted local limit theorems for large deviations

Leonas SAULIS, Dovilė DELTUVIENĖ (VGTU)

e-mail: lsaulis@fm.vgtu.lt

Abstract. Theorems of large deviations, both in the Cramer zone and the Linnik power zones, for the normal approximation of the distribution density function of normalized sum $S_v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k X_k$, 0 < v < 1, of i.i.d. random variables (r.v.) X_0, X_1, \ldots satisfying the generalized Bernstein's condition are obtained.

Keywords: distribution density function, characteristic function, cumulant, large deviations, discount factor

1. Introduction

Let $X_0, X_1, ...$ be a sequence of independent r.v. with the common distribution function F(x), and let v, 0 < v < 1, be a discount factor. We define r.v. S_v by

$$S_v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k X_k,\tag{1}$$

which may be interpreted as the present value of the sum of certain periodic and identically distribution payments X_k . We assume that the first two moments of r.v. X_k are finite

$$\mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \, \mathrm{d}F(x) < \infty, \quad \sigma^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 \, \mathrm{d}F(x) < \infty, \tag{2}$$

and that the centered moments $\mathbf{E}(X_k - \mu)^s$, $s = 3, 4, \dots$ satisfy the generalized S.N. Bernstein condition: there exist constants $\gamma \ge 0$, K > 0 such that

$$|\mathbf{E}(X_k - \mu)^s| \le (s!)^{1+\gamma} K^{s-2} \sigma^2, \quad s = 3, 4, \dots$$
 (B_{\gamma})

Notice that the mean and variance of the r.v. S_v are, respectively,

$$\mathbf{E}S_v = \mu (1 - v)^{-1}$$
 and $\mathbf{D}S_v = \sigma^2 (1 - v^2)^{-1}$. (3)

It has been shown in [2], that the normalized r.v.

$$Z_v = \sigma^{-1} (1 - v)^{1/2} (S_v - \mu (1 - v)^{-1}), \tag{4}$$

with mean $\mathbf{E}Z_v = 0$ and variance $\mathbf{D}Z_v = (1+v)^{-1}$, is asymptotically normal if $v \to 1$. Let $F_v(x)$ and $p_v(x)$ be the distribution and density function, respectively, of the r.v.

 Z_v . We denote the normal distribution with zero mean and variance $(1+v)^{-1}$ by N_v , i.e., $N_v(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi_v(y) \, dy$ where

$$\varphi_v(x) = \left(\frac{1+v}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1+v}{2}x^2\right\}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$
 (5)

The distribution $F_v(x)$ of random variable Z_v has been approximated by normal law $N_v(x)$ and the exact estimate has been derived by H.U. Gerber [1]. The authors of the current paper proved in [2] the theorems of large deviations. Let us notice that the asymptotic analysis of a density function $p_v(x)$ of a random variables Z_v is more complicated than asymptotic analysis of distribution $F_v(x)$.

Note that the characteristic function $f(t) = \mathbf{E} \exp\{itX_0\}$ of the r.v. X_0 is analytic in the vicinity of the point t = 0 if condition (B_{γ}) is satisfied with $\gamma = 0$. In this case, large deviation theorems are proved in the Cramer zone. In the case when the moments of r.v. X_0 satisfy condition (B_{γ}) with $\gamma > 0$, f(t) is not analytical. In this case, theorems for large deviations in power Linnik zones are proved in [3].

2. The discounted version of the large deviations

In order to prove theorems for large deviations for the r.v. Z_v defined by (4), it is necessary to obtain upper estimates for its cumulants $\Gamma_l(Z_v)$, $l = 3, 4, \dots$

PROPOSITION 1. If for r.v. X_k , k = 0, 1, 2, ... the condition (B_v) is satisfied, then

$$\left|\Gamma_{l}(Z_{v})\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{1+v+v^{2}} \cdot \frac{(l!)^{1+\gamma}}{\Delta_{v}^{l-2}}, \quad l=3,4,\ldots,$$
 (6)

where

$$\Delta_v = \frac{\sigma}{2(\sigma \vee K)\sqrt{1 - v}},\tag{7}$$

 $a \lor b = \max\{a, b\}.$

The proof is presented in [2].

Denote

$$\Delta_{v}(\gamma) = c_{v}(\gamma) \Delta_{v}^{\frac{1}{1+2\gamma}}, \quad c_{v}(\gamma) = (1/6) \left(\sqrt{2}/\left(6(1+v)^{1+\gamma}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+2\gamma}},$$

$$T_{v}(\gamma) = \left(3/8\right) \left(1 - x/\Delta_{v}(\gamma)\right) \Delta_{v}(\gamma), \quad 0 < x < \Delta_{v}(\gamma).$$

$$(8)$$

In what follows, let θ_i , $i=1,2,\ldots$ denote some quantities, not exceeding 1 in absolute value. Further, suppose that the density $p_{X_0}(x)$ of the r.v. X_0 is bounded, i.e.,

$$\sup_{x} p_{X_0}(x) \leqslant C < \infty. \tag{D}$$

THEOREM 1. If for the r.v. X_k with $\mu = \mathbf{E}X_k$ and $\sigma^2 = \mathbf{E}(X_k - \mu)^2 > 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ conditions (B_{γ}) and (D) are fulfilled, then for each integer l, $l \ge 3$ in the interval $0 \le x < \Delta_v(\gamma)$ the following relation holds:

$$\frac{p_{v}(x)}{\varphi_{v}(x)} = \exp\left\{L_{\gamma}(x)\right\} \left(1 + \sum_{\nu=0}^{l-3} M_{\nu}(x) + \theta_{1} q(\gamma, l) \cdot \left(\frac{x+1}{\Delta_{v}}\right)^{l-2} + \theta_{2} \frac{5\pi^{2} x^{2}}{8} T_{v}(\gamma) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} T_{v}^{2}(\gamma)\right\} + \theta_{3} \cdot c(K, \sigma, \gamma) \right. \\
\times C v^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{c_{3}}{4(K \vee \sigma)C^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-v^{2}}\right\}, \tag{9}$$

where $q(\gamma, l)$ is defined by (6.7) in [3], $c(K, \sigma, \gamma) = 384\sqrt{2\pi}e^224^{\gamma}(K \vee \sigma)$, and

$$L_{\gamma}(x) = \sum_{3 \le k \le p} \lambda_k x^k, \quad p = \begin{cases} (1/\gamma) + l + 1, & \gamma > 0, \\ \infty, & \gamma = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (10)

where $\lambda_k = -b_{k-1}/k$ and b_k are determined from the equation

$$\sum_{r=1}^{j} \frac{1}{r!} \Gamma_{r+1}(Z_v) \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r = j} \prod_{i=1}^{r} b_{j_i} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 1, \\ 0, & j = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$
(11)

In particular,

$$b_1 = \Gamma_2^{-1}(Z_v) = 1 + v, \quad b_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(1+v)^3\Gamma_3(Z_v),$$

 $b_3 = -\frac{1}{6}(1+v)^4(\Gamma_4(Z_v) - 3(1+v)\Gamma_3^2(Z_v)), \dots.$

Polynomials $M_{\nu}(x)$ are defined by formula

$$M_{\nu}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} K_k(x) q_{\nu-k}(x), \tag{12}$$

where

$$K_{\nu}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{k_m!} \left(-\lambda_{m+2} x^{m+2} \right)^{k_m}, \quad K_0(x) \equiv 1,$$

$$q_{\nu}(x) = \sum H_{\nu+2}(x) \prod_{m=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{k_m!} \Big(\Gamma_{m+2}(Z_{\nu}) / (m+2)! \Big)^{k_m},$$

 $q_0(x) \equiv 1$, $H_l(x)$ are Chebyshew–Hermite polynomials, and the summation is taken over all integer solutions of the equation $k_1 + 2k_2 + ... + \nu k_{\nu} = \nu$. In particular,

$$M_0(x) \equiv 0, \quad M_1(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_3(Z_v)x,$$

$$M_2(x) = (1/8) \Big(5\Gamma_3^2(Z_v) - 2\Gamma_4(Z_v)x^2 + (1/24) \Big(3\Gamma_4(Z_v) - 5\Gamma_3^2(Z_v) \Big) \Big),$$

where

$$\Gamma_l(Z_v) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-v}}{\sigma}\right)^l \frac{1}{1-v^l} \Gamma_l(X_0), \quad l = 2, 3, \dots$$
 (13)

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of this theorem is based on the results obtained in [4] for the distribution density function $p_{Z_n}(x)$ of normed sum of $Z_n = (\sqrt{\mathbf{D}S_n})^{-1}S_n$, $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^{(n)}$, of independent non-indentically distributed random variables in the scheme of series. First of all, we notice that condition (D) implies the following inequality for the density function $p_{Y_j}(x)$ of r.v. $Y_j = v^j X_j$: $\sup_x p_{Y_j}(x) \leq C v^{-j}$. The quantity K_n in [4] corresponds $K_v := 2 \sup_{j \geq 0} v^j \cdot \{K \vee \sigma\} = 2\{K \vee \sigma\}$. The expression of the remaining terms in the statement of the Theorem 1 is derived on the base of the estimate of $R_{n,\gamma}$ (24) in [4].

THEOREM 2. Let for the r.v.'s X_k , k = 0, 1, 2, ... conditions (B_{γ}) and (D) are fulfilled. Then for

$$x \ge 0, \quad x = o((1 - v)^{-\nu}), \quad \nu = (2 + 4(1 \lor \gamma))^{-1}$$
 (14)

the relation

$$\frac{p_v(x)}{\varphi_v(x)} \to 1, \quad v \to 1 \tag{15}$$

holds. In particular, if $\gamma = 0$, the relation (16) holds for $x \ge 0$, $x = o((1 - v)^{-1/6})$.

Proof of Theorem 2. For all $x = o((1-v)^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu})$, where $\nu = \nu(\gamma) = (1+2\max\{1,\gamma\})^{-1}$, we get $x\Delta_{\nu}^{-1} = o((1-v)^{(1+\gamma)/(1+2\max\{1,\gamma\})}) \to 0$ for all $\gamma \geqslant 0$ if $v \to 1$. We have to show that $L_{\gamma}(x) \to 0$ for all $x = o((1-v)^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu})$. Recalling expression (11) of $L_{\gamma}(x)$ and making use of estimates (6) of the cumulants $\Gamma_{l}(Z_{\nu})$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \lambda_3 x^3 \right| &= \frac{1}{6} (1+v)^3 \left| \Gamma_3 (Z_v) x^3 \right| \leqslant \frac{(1+v)^2 6^{\gamma}}{\Delta_v} o\left((1-v)^{-\frac{3}{2}\nu} \right) \\ &= o\left((1-v)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-3\nu)} \right) \to 0, \quad v \to 1, \end{aligned}$$

because $1 - 3\nu = 2(\max\{1, \gamma\} - 1)(1 + 2\max\{1, \gamma\})^{-1} \ge 0$. Further, having in mind the expression of the polynomials $M_r(x)$ (formula (13)) included into the statement of Theorem 1, and using the estimates (7) of the cumulants $\Gamma_l(Z_\nu)$, $l = 3, 4, \ldots$, we get $M_r(x) \to 0$, $r = 0, 1, \ldots, l - 3$. In view of Theorem 1, this yealds the proof.

References

- 1. H.U. Gerber, The discounted central theorem and its Berry–Esseen analogue, *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **42**, 389–392 (1971).
- 2. L. Saulis and D. Deltuviene, The Discounted Limit Theorems, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, **90**, 219–226 (2006).
- 3. L. Saulis, V. Statulevičius, *Limit Theorems for Large Deviations*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, Boston, London (1991).
- 4. D. Deltuviene and L. Saulis, Asymptotic expansion of the distribution density function for the sum of random variables in the series scheme in large deviation zones, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, **78**, 87–97 (2003).

REZIUMĖ

L. Saulis, D. Deltuvienė. Didžiųjų nuokrypių diskontavimo lokalinės teoremos

Darbe gautos normuotos sumos $S_v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k X_k$, 0 < v < 1 skirstinio tankio funkcijos $p_v(x)$ aproksimacijos normaliuoju dėsniu, atsižvelgiant į asimptotinius skleidinius, didžiųjų nuokrypių teoremos Kramerio ir laipsninėse Liniko zonose, kai nepriklausomi vienodai pasiskirstę atsitiktiniai dydžiai X_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots tenkina apibendrintą N.S. Bernsteino sąlygą.

Raktiniai žodžiai: skirstinio tankio funkcija, charakteristinė funkcija, kumulantas, didieji nuokrypiai, diskontavimo faktorius.