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Abstract. We introduce a generalization of (Kolmogorovian) conditional probabilities.
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## 1. Introduction

In this note we introduce multiconditional events and describe their expected values (which we call multiconditional probabilities) generalizing some results of H.T. Nguyen, I.R. Goodman and E.A. Walker [6] and partially of U. Höhle and S. Weber [1-4,7,8].

## 2. Multiconditional events

Let $B$ be a Boolean algebra, and let $a$ and $f_{0}$ be elements of $B$. In [5] (further developed in op.cit.), the "conditional event" " $a$ given $f_{0}$ ", written ( $a \| f_{0}$ ), is defined as the order interval

$$
\left(a \| f_{0}\right)=\left[a \wedge f_{0}, a \vee \neg f_{0}\right]
$$

i.e., the set of all elements of $B$ between $a \wedge f_{0}$ and $a \vee \neg f_{0}$ (which is the same thing as the pair $\left\langle a \wedge f_{0}, a \vee \neg f_{0}\right\rangle$. In this note we propose the notion of "multiconditional events" in a Boolean algebra.

Let $B$ be a Boolean algebra and let $\left\langle f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right\rangle$ (with $n \geqslant 2$ ) be a event of elements of $B$ such that

$$
f_{0} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant f_{n-2} \text { and } a \wedge f_{0}=\ldots=a \wedge f_{n-2}
$$

Then we define the multiconditional event $\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)$ of " $a$ given conditions $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}$ " as the following isotonic chain:

$$
\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)=\left\langle a \wedge f_{0}, a \vee \neg f_{n-2}, \ldots, a \vee \neg f_{0}\right\rangle
$$

We denote by $B^{\mathbf{n}}$ the set of all isotonic chains $f: \mathbf{n} \rightarrow B$, where $\mathbf{n}$ denotes the sequence of integers: $\mathbf{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. We provide this set with the point-wise partial ordering: $f \leqslant g \Leftrightarrow f_{0} \leqslant g_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-1} \leqslant g_{n-1}$. Obviously these chains form a bounded lattice, and the lattice-theoretic operations and universal bounds are given by:

$$
\left\langle f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right\rangle \wedge\left\langle g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{0} \wedge g_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-1} \wedge g_{n-1}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right\rangle \vee\left\langle g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{0} \vee g_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-1} \vee g_{n-1}\right\rangle, \\
\langle\perp, \ldots, \perp\rangle=\perp \text { and }\langle\top, \ldots, T\rangle=\top,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\perp$ and $\top$ (in brackets) denote the least element and the largest element in $B$, respectively. If we identify $B$ with the sublattice $B_{c}^{\mathbf{n}}$ of $B^{\mathbf{n}}$ of constant sequences

$$
B_{c}^{\mathbf{n}}=\{\langle a, \ldots, a\rangle \mid a \in B\}
$$

then $B$ becomes a sublattice of $B^{\mathbf{n}}$.
We denote by $\tilde{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$ the set of all multiconditional events. The following equalities are worth pointing out

$$
\left(a \wedge f_{0} \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)=\ldots=\left(a \wedge f_{n-2} \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)=\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)
$$

It can be easy checked that chains of $B^{\mathbf{n}}$ are in an one-to-one correspondence to multiconditional events in $\tilde{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$ via

$$
\left\langle g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right\rangle=\left(g_{0} \| g_{0} \vee \neg g_{n-1}, \ldots, g_{0} \vee \neg g_{1}\right)
$$

Further, it is easy to see that the set $\tilde{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$ of multiconditional events extends the set $B$ in the following sense:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a \| \top, \ldots, \top)=\langle a, \ldots, a\rangle \\
& \left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)=\left(b \| g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-2}\right) \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow a \wedge f_{0}=b \wedge g_{0}, f_{0}=g_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}=g_{n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following natural partial ordering can be defined on $\tilde{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \leqslant\left(b \| g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-2}\right) \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow a \wedge f_{0} \leqslant b \wedge g_{0}, a \vee \neg f_{0} \leqslant b \vee \neg g_{0}, \ldots, a \vee \neg f_{n-2} \leqslant b \vee \neg g_{n-2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which extends the usual entailment relation in $B$. The following monotonicity properties hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \leqslant b \Rightarrow\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \leqslant\left(b \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \\
& f_{0} \leqslant g_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2} \leqslant g_{n-2}, a \wedge g_{0} \leqslant a \wedge f_{0} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow\left(a \| g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-2}\right) \leqslant\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is a bounded lattice. The lattice operations and universal bounds are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \wedge\left(b \| g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-2}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(a \wedge f_{0} \wedge b \wedge g_{0} \|\left(a \wedge f_{0} \wedge b \wedge g_{0}\right) \vee\left(\neg a \wedge f_{0}\right) \vee\left(\neg b \wedge g_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \ldots,\left(a \wedge f_{0} \wedge b \wedge g_{0}\right) \vee\left(\neg a \wedge f_{n-2}\right) \vee\left(\neg b \wedge g_{n-2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \vee\left(b \| g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right) \vee\left(b \wedge g_{0}\right) \|\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right) \vee\left(b \wedge g_{0}\right) \vee\left(\neg a \wedge f_{0} \wedge \neg b \wedge g_{0}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\ldots,\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right) \vee\left(b \wedge g_{0}\right) \vee\left(\neg a \wedge f_{n-2} \wedge \neg b \wedge g_{n-2}\right)\right), \\
\tilde{\perp}= & (\perp \| \top, \ldots, \top) \text { and } \tilde{\top}=(\top \| \top, \ldots, \top) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we identify $B$ with the sublattice $\tilde{B}_{c}^{\mathbf{n}}$ of $\tilde{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$ of multiconditional events

$$
\tilde{B}_{c}^{\mathbf{n}}=\{(a \| \top \ldots \top) \mid a \in B\}
$$

then $B$ becomes a sublattice of $\tilde{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$.

## 3. Multiconditional probabilities

Let $P$ be a probability measure on a Boolean algebra $B$. If $\left\langle g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right\rangle \subseteq B$ is an isotonic chain, then from isotonicity of $P$ it follows that the image $P\left\langle g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right\rangle$ of $\left\langle g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right\rangle$ under $P$

$$
P\left\langle g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-1}\right\rangle=\left\langle P\left(g_{0}\right), \ldots, P\left(g_{n-1}\right)\right\rangle
$$

is an isotonic chain in the real unit interval $[0,1]$ (with the 'natural' lattice structure given by max and min). For $\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \in \tilde{B}^{\mathbf{n}}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)=\left\langle P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right), P\left(a \vee \neg f_{n-2}\right), \ldots, P\left(a \vee \neg f_{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right), P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)+1-P\left(f_{n-2}\right), \ldots, P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)+1-P\left(f_{0}\right)\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider an "expected value" function $E$ on [0, 1], a $n$-ary function $E:[0,1]^{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow[0,1]$ satisfying the following axioms: for $r \in[0,1],\left\langle r_{0}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right\rangle,\left\langle q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right\rangle \in[0,1]^{\mathbf{n}}$ (with $r_{0} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant r_{n-1}$ and $q_{0} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant q_{n-1}$ ),
(i) $E\langle r, \ldots, r\rangle=r$ (idempotency),
(ii) $r_{0} \leqslant q_{0}, \ldots, r_{n-1} \leqslant q_{n-1} \Rightarrow E\left\langle r_{0}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right\rangle \leqslant E\left\langle q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right\rangle$ (isotonicity).

Now we are going to "extend" the probability measure $P$ to the lattice $\tilde{B}^{\text {n }}$ of multiconditional events in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \mapsto E\left(P\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=E\left\langle P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right), P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)+1-P\left(f_{n-2}\right), \ldots, P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)+1-P\left(f_{0}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote the values of this extension of $P$ as $P_{E}\left(a \mid f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right)$ and call it multiconditional probability (of "a given conditions $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}$ "). Obviously this quantity satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $P_{E}(\perp \mid \top, \ldots, \top)=0$ and $P_{E}(\top \mid \top, \ldots, \top)=1$,
(ii) $\left(a \| f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \leqslant\left(b \| g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-2}\right)$

$$
\Rightarrow P_{E}\left(a \mid f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-2}\right) \leqslant P_{E}\left(b \mid g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n-2}\right)
$$

To motivate the choice of the name "multiconditional probability", consider an expected value function defined by

$$
E_{2, k}\left\langle r_{0}, r_{1}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}\frac{r_{0}}{r_{0}+1-r_{1}} & \text { if }\left\langle r_{0}, r_{1}\right\rangle \neq\langle 0,1\rangle, \\ k & \text { if }\left\langle r_{0}, r_{1}\right\rangle=\langle 0,1\rangle\end{cases}
$$

where $k$ is an arbitrary number in $[0,1]$. From (1) (with $n=2$ ) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{E_{2, k}}\left(a \mid f_{0}\right) & = \begin{cases}\frac{P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)}{P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)+1-\left(P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)+1-P\left(f_{0}\right)\right)} & \text { if } P\left(f_{0}\right) \neq 0, \\
k & \text { if } P\left(f_{0}\right)=0\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)}{P\left(f_{0}\right)} & \text { if } P\left(f_{0}\right) \neq 0, \\
k & \text { if } P\left(f_{0}\right)=0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

which (in the case when $k=1$ ) is the usual definition of (Kolmogorovian) conditional probability.

For $\left\langle r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\rangle \in[0,1]^{3}$ (with $r_{0} \leqslant r_{1} \leqslant r_{2}$ ) and $k \in[0,1]$, consider

$$
E_{3, k}\left\langle r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}\frac{r_{0}}{r_{0}+1-\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}+1-r_{2}}} & \text { if }\left\langle r_{0}, r_{2}\right\rangle \neq\langle 0,1\rangle, \\ k & \text { if }\left\langle r_{0}, r_{2}\right\rangle=\langle 0,1\rangle,\end{cases}
$$

which defines an expected value function from $[0,1]^{3}$ to $[0,1]$. From (1) (with $n=3$ ) it follows that

$$
P_{E_{3, k}}\left(a \mid f_{0}, f_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}1-\frac{P\left(f_{0}\right)-P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)}{P\left(f_{0}\right)-P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)\left(P\left(f_{1}\right)-P\left(f_{0}\right)\right)} & \text { if } P\left(f_{0}\right) \neq 0 \\ k & \text { if } P\left(f_{0}\right)=0\end{cases}
$$

(not forgetting the conditions: $f_{0} \leqslant f_{1}$ and $a \wedge f_{0}=a \wedge f_{1}$ ). This formula can be considered as a generalization of the usual conditional probability.

Next, for $\left\langle r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right\rangle \in[0,1]^{4}$ (with $r_{0} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant r_{3}$ ) and $k \in[0,1]$, consider

$$
E_{4, k}\left\langle r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}\frac{r_{0}}{r_{0}+1-\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}+1-\frac{r_{2}}{r_{2}+1-r_{3}}}} & \text { iflangle } \left.r_{0}, r_{3}\right\rangle \neq\langle 0,1\rangle, \\ k & \text { if }\left\langle r_{0}, r_{3}\right\rangle=\langle 0,1\rangle .\end{cases}
$$

It is evident that this quantity defines an expected value function from $[0,1]^{4}$ to $[0,1]$. From this we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{E_{4, k}}\left(a \mid f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}1-\frac{P\left(f_{0}\right)-P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)}{P\left(f_{0}\right)-P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)\left(\left(P\left(f_{1}\right)-P\left(f_{0}\right)\right)\left(1-P\left(f_{2}\right)+P\left(a \wedge f_{0}\right)\right)+P\left(f_{2}\right)-P\left(f_{0}\right)\right)} & \text { if } P\left(f_{0}\right) \neq 0, \\
k & \text { if } P\left(f_{0}\right)=0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(with the conditions that $f_{0} \leqslant f_{1} \leqslant f_{2}$ and $a \wedge f_{0}=a \wedge f_{1}=a \wedge f_{2}$ ), which can be considered as an another (more high level) generalization of the traditional conditional probability.

Similarly, one can consider the case $n=5$ etc.
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