Liet. matem. rink., **44**, *spec. nr.*, 2004, 63–68

A weighted discrete limit theorem on the complex plane for the Matsumoto zeta-function

Roma KAČINSKAITĖ(ŠU)
e-mail: r.kacinskaite@fm.su.lt

Let $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the sets of positive integers and complex numbers, respectively. For $g(m)$, $f(j,m) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_m^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 1, \dots, g(m)$, define the polynomials

$$A_m(X) = \prod_{j=1}^{g(m)} \left(1 - a_m^{(j)} X^{f(j,m)}\right).$$

Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable, and let p_m denote the mth prime number. The Matsumoto zeta-function $\varphi(s)$ was introduced and studied in [6], and is defined by

$$\varphi(s) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m^{-1}(p_m^{-s}).$$

Assume the conditions

$$g(m) \leqslant c p_m^{\alpha}, \quad |a_m^{(j)}| \leqslant p_m^{\beta} \tag{1}$$

with a positive constant c and non-negative constants α and β. Under the condition (1) the product in the definition of $\varphi(s)$ converges absolutely for $\sigma > \alpha + \beta + 1$ and defines an analytic function without zeros.

K. Matsumoto and A. Laurinčikas proved limit theorems for the function $\varphi(s)$. We obtained in [2]–[4] discrete limit theorems for $\varphi(s)$. Let, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu_N(\dots) = (N+1)^{-1}\#(0 \leqslant m \leqslant N\colon \dots)$, where in place of dots a condition satisfied by m is to be written. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(S)$ the class of Borel sets of the space S. In [2]–[4] we considered the weak convergence of probability measures

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_N(\varphi(\sigma + imh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \sigma > \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2},\\
&\mu_N(\varphi(s + imh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_1)), \quad D_1 = \{s \in \mathbb{C}\colon \sigma > \alpha + \beta + 1\},\\
&\mu_N(\varphi(s + imh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(M(D_2)), \quad D_2 = \left\{s \in \mathbb{C}\colon \sigma > \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Here $H(D_1)$ and $M(D_2)$ denote the spaces of analytic and meromorphic functions, respectively. In the case of the first and third measures in (2) it was assumed that

the function $\varphi(s)$ is meromorphically continuable to D_2, all poles in this region are included in a compact set, and, for $\sigma > \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}$, the estimates

$$\varphi(\sigma + it) = B|t|^c, \quad |t| \geqslant t_0, \ c > 0, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\int_0^T |\varphi(\sigma + it)|^2 \, dt = BT, \quad T \to \infty,$$

are valid. Here $h > 0$ is a fixed number such that $\exp\left\{\frac{2\pi k}{h}\right\}$ is irrational for all integers $k \neq 0$, and B denotes a quantity bounded by a constant.

It is possible to generalize the last results and to obtain weighted discrete limit theorems for the function $\varphi(s)$. Let $w(x)$ be a real function of bounded variation on $[N_0, \infty)$, $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $U = U(N, w) = \sum_{m=N_0}^{N} w(m) \to +\infty$ as $N \to \infty$, and let $v_N(\ldots) = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{m=N_0}^{N} w(m)$, where in place of dots a condition satisfied by m is to be written. The aim of this note is to obtain the weak convergence as $N \to \infty$ of the probability measure $P_N(A) = v_N(\varphi(\sigma + imh) \in A)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$, $\sigma > \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}$. Note that if the function $w(x)$ is non-increasing, then in [5] it was proved that the weak convergence of the first measure in (2) implies that of P_N.

Let, for $\sigma > \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}$, and real τ,

$$\int_\tau^{T+\tau} w(t - \tau)\left|\varphi(\sigma + it)\right|^2 dt = B\left(1 + |\tau|\right)^{c_2}, \quad c_2 > 0. \tag{4}$$

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that the function $\varphi(s)$ satisfies conditions* (1), (3), (4), *and $h > 0$ is a fixed number such that* $\exp\left\{\frac{2\pi k}{h}\right\}$ *is irrational for all integers $k \neq 0$. Then on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ there exists a probability measure P such that the measure P_N converges weakly to P as $N \to \infty$.*

We begin with a limit theorem for Dirichlet polynomials. For $\sigma > \alpha + \beta + 1$, the function $\varphi(s)$ can be written in the form $\varphi(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(m)}{m^s}$. Let, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{b(m)}{m^s}$.

LEMMA 2. *The probability measure $v_N(p_n(\sigma + imh) \in A)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$, converges weakly to some measure on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ as $N \to \infty$.*

Proof. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ be prime numbers which divide $n!$. Define the probability measure $Q_N(A) = v_N((p_1^{-ihm}, \ldots, p_r^{-ihm}) \in A)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_r)$, where $\Omega_r = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \gamma_j$, $\gamma_j = \gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C}: |s| = 1\}$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$. We will prove that the measure Q_N converges weakly to the Haar measure m_{rH} on $(\Omega_r, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_r))$. The Fourier transform of $g_N(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$, $k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, of the measure Q_N is

$$g_N(k_1, \ldots, k_r) = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{m=N_0}^{N} w(m) \exp\left\{imh \sum_{j=1}^{r} k_j \log p_j\right\}.$$

Clearly, $g_N(0,\dots,0)=1$. If $(k_1,\dots,k_r)\neq(0,\dots,0)$, then the properties of h show that

$$\sum_{N_0\leqslant m\leqslant U}\exp\left\{imh\sum_{j=1}^{r}k_j\log p_j\right\}=\left(ih\sum_{j=1}^{r}k_j\log p_j\right)^{-1}$$
$$\times\left(\exp\left\{ih[U]\sum_{j=1}^{r}k_j\log p_j\right\}-\exp\left\{ihN_0\sum_{j=1}^{r}k_j\log p_j\right\}\right)=B.$$

Hence, summing by parts, we find that in this case

$$g_N(k_1,\dots,k_r)=\frac{w(N)}{U}\sum_{m=N_0}^{N}\exp\left\{imh\sum_{j=1}^{r}k_j\log p_j\right\}$$
$$-\frac{1}{U}\int_{N_0}^{N}\sum_{N_0\leqslant m\leqslant U}\exp\left\{imh\sum_{j=1}^{r}k_j\log p_j\right\}\mathrm{d}w(u)$$
$$=\frac{B}{U}+\frac{B}{U}\int_{N_0}^{N}|\mathrm{d}w(u)|\to 0\text{ as }N\to\infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}g_N(k_1,\dots,k_r)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } (k_1,\dots,k_r)=(0,\dots,0),\\ 0 & \text{if } (k_1,\dots,k_r)\neq(0,\dots,0).\end{cases}$$

This shows that the measure Q_N converges weakly to m_{rH} as $N\to\infty$. Let $u\colon\Omega_r\to\mathbb{C}$ be given by the formula

$$u(x_1,\dots,x_r)=\sum_{m=1}^{r}\frac{b(m)}{m^{\sigma}\prod_{p_j^l\|m}x_r^l},\quad (x_1,\dots,x_r)\in\Omega_r.$$

Since $p_n(\sigma+imh)=u(p_1^{-imh},\dots,p_r^{-imh})$, and the function u is continuous, hence we obtain that the measure of the lemma converges weakly to $m_{rH}u^{-1}$ as $N\to\infty$.

Without loss of generality we can suppose that the function $\varphi(s)$ is analytic in D_2. Really, since all poles are included in a compact set, we can find $N_1\in\mathbb{N}$ such that, for $m\geqslant N_1$, $mh>\max_j\Im\varrho_j$, where ϱ_j are possible poles of the function $\varphi(s)$. Let $U_1=\sum_{m=N_1}^{N}w(m)$. Then, clearly, $U_1/U\to 1$ as $N\to\infty$. Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{U}\sum_{\substack{m=N_0\\ \varphi(\sigma+imh)\in A}}^{N}w(m)=\frac{1}{U}\sum_{\substack{m=N_1\\ \varphi(\sigma+imh)\in A}}^{N}w(m)+\frac{1}{U}\sum_{\substack{m=N_0\\ \varphi(\sigma+imh)\in A}}^{N_1}w(m)$$
$$=\frac{U_1}{U_1U}\sum_{\substack{m=N_1\\ \varphi(\sigma+imh)\in A}}^{N}w(m)+\mathrm{o}(1)=\frac{1}{U_1}\sum_{\substack{m=N_1\\ \varphi(\sigma+imh)\in A}}^{N}w(m)+\mathrm{o}(1)$$

uniformly in $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$ as $N \to \infty$. Therefore, instead of the measure P_N we can consider the measure

$$\frac{1}{U_1} \sum_{\substack{m=N_1 \\ \varphi(\sigma+imh)\in A}}^{N} w(m).$$

Let, for $\sigma_1 > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\varphi_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(m)}{m^s} \exp\left\{ -\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\sigma_1} \right\}.$$

Then the last series converges absolutely for $\sigma > \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}$.

LEMMA 3. *Let $\sigma > \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}$. Then on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ there exists a probability measure P_n such that the probability measure*

$$Q_{N,n}(A) = \upsilon_N(\varphi_n(\sigma + imh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}),$$

converges weakly to P_n as $N \to \infty$.

Proof. Proof of the lemma uses Lemma 2 and is similar to that of Lemma 3.1 of [2].

LEMMA 4. *Let $\sigma > \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{U} \sum_{m=N_0}^{N} w(m)\left|\varphi(\sigma + imh) - \varphi_n(\sigma + imh)\right| = 0.$$

Proof. We apply the condition (4) and arguments of the proof of Lemma 4.3 of [2].

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 3 the probability measure $Q_{N,n}$ converges weakly to P_n as $N \to \infty$. We will prove that the family of probability measures $\{P_n\}$ is tight.

Let η_N be defined on a certain probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), \mathbb{P})$ and have the distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta_N = hk) = \frac{w(k)}{N - N_0}, \quad k = N_0, \dots, N.$$

Define $X_{N,n}(\sigma) = \varphi_n(\sigma + i\eta_N)$. Then, clearly,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{N,n}(\sigma) \in A) = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{m=N_0}^{N} w(m), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and thus

$$X_{N,n} \xrightarrow[N\to\infty]{\mathcal{D}} X_n, \tag{5}$$

where X_n is a complex-valued random element with the distribution P_n. Since the series for $\varphi_n(s)$ is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > +\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\sup_{n\geqslant 1} \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{U} \sum_{m=N_0}^{N} w(m)\left|\varphi_n(\sigma + imh)\right| \leqslant A < \infty.$$

Now, taking $M = \frac{A}{\varepsilon}$, we find that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(|X_{N,n}| > M\right) \leqslant \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{MU} \sum_{m=N_0}^{N} w(m)\left|\varphi_n(\sigma + imh)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Since $|X_{N,n}| \xrightarrow[N\to\infty]{\mathcal{D}} |X_n|$, hence we find that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|X_n| > M\right) \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_n \in H_\varepsilon\right) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon \tag{6}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $H_\varepsilon = \{s \in \mathbb{C}\colon |s| \leqslant M\}$. Clearly, H_ε is a compact set, and by (6) $P_n(H_\varepsilon) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$. This means that the family $\{P_n\}$ is tight, and therefore by Prokhorov's theorem it is relatively compact.

Let $Y_N(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma + i\eta_N)$. Then by Lemma 4, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty} \limsup_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{N,n}(\sigma) - Y_N(\sigma)\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \\
&\quad = \lim_{n\to\infty} \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{U} \sum_{\substack{m=N_0 \\ |X_{N,n}(\sigma)-Y_N(\sigma)|\geqslant\varepsilon}}^{N} w(m) \\
&\quad \leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty} \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon U} \sum_{m=N_0}^{N} w(m)\left|X_{N,n}(\sigma) - Y_N(\sigma)\right| = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From the relative compactness of $\{P_n\}$ it follows the existence of $n_1 \to \infty$ such that P_{n_1} converges weakly to some measure P as $n_1 \to \infty$, or

$$X_{n_1} \xrightarrow[n_1\to\infty]{\mathcal{D}} P.$$

This, (5), (7) and Theorem 4.2 of [1] shows that $Y_N \xrightarrow[N\to\infty]{\mathcal{D}} P$, and the theorem is proved.

References

1. P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Willey, New York (1968).
2. R. Kačinskaitė, A discrete limit theorem for the Matsumoto zeta-function on the complex plane, *Liet. matem. rink.*, **40**(4), 475–492 (2000) (in Russian); *Lith. Math. J.*, **40**(4), 364–378 (2000).
3. R. Kačinskaitė, A discrete limit theorem for the Matsumoto zeta-function in the space of analytic functions, *Liet. matem. rink.*, **41**(4), 441–448 (2001) (in Russian); *Lith. Math. J.*, **41**(4), 344–350 (2001).
4. R. Kačinskaitė, A discrete limit theorem for the Matsumoto zeta-function in the space of meromorphic functions, *Liet. matem. rink.*, **42**(1), 46–67 (2002) (in Russian); *Lith. Math. J.*, **42**(1), 37–53 (2002).
5. R. Kačinskaitė, A note on discrete limit theorems for the Matsumoto zeta-function, *Liet. matem. rink.*, **43**(spec. issue), 51–55 (2003).
6. K. Matsumoto, Value-distribution of zeta-functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1434**, Springer, 178–187 (1990).

REZIUMĖ

R. Kačinskaitė. Diskreti ribinė teorema su svoriu kompleksinėje plokštumoje Matsumoto dzeta funkcijai

Straipsnyje įrodyta diskreti ribinė teorema su svoriu silpno tikimybinių matų konvergavimo prasme kompleksinėje plokštumoje Matsumoto dzeta funkcijai.