One functional property of the Lerch zeta-function

A. Laurinčikas* (VU)

Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable. The Lerch zeta-function $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ is defined, for $\sigma > 1$, by

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m+\alpha)^s},$$

and otherwise by analytic continuation. Here λ and α , $0 < \alpha \le 1$, are real parameters. If λ is not an integer, then $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ is an entire function.

This note is devoted to the functional independence of the Lerch zeta-function with rational parameters. The problem of the functional independence of Dirichlet series was formulated by D. Hilbert in 1900, and it was solved for different functions by D.D. Mordukhai-Boltovskoi, A. Ostrowski, A.G. Postnikov, S.M. Voronin and the author.

Let $\lambda = l/r$, $1 \le l < r$, (l, r) = 1, and $\alpha = a/q$, $1 \le a < q$, (a, q) = 1, be rational numbers. Moreover, for brevity, let k = rq, d = (k, m), $\beta_m = lm/k$. Define numbers

$$\eta_{v} = \sum_{\substack{m-1\\ m \equiv a \pmod{q}}}^{k} e^{2\pi i \beta_{m}} \overline{\chi}_{v}(m), \quad v = 0, 1, \dots, \varphi(k) - 1,$$

where χ_{ν} denotes the Dirichlet character modulo k, and $\varphi(k)$ stands for the Euler function.

THEOREM. Suppose that there exists at last two primitive charakters modulo k such that the corresponding numbers η_v are distinct from zero. Let F_l , l = 0, ..., n, be continuous functions, and let the equality

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n} s^{l} F_{l}\left(q^{-s}L(\lambda,\alpha,s),\left(q^{-s}L(\lambda,\alpha,s)\right)',\ldots,\left(q^{-s}L(\lambda,\alpha,s)\right)^{(N-1)}\right)=0,$$

be valid identically for s. Then $F_l \equiv 0$ for l = 0, 1, ..., n.

The proof of the theorem is based on the universality property of the Lerch zeta-function obtained in [1]. Denote by $meas\{A\}$ the Lebesgue measure of the set A.

LEMMA 1. Suppose there exist at least two primitive characters modulo k such that the corresponding numbers η_v are distinct from zero. Let 0 < R < 1/4, and let f(s) be a continuous function on the disc $|s| \le R$ and analytic in the interior of this disc. Then

$$\liminf_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\mathrm{meas}\left\{\tau\in[0,T],\max_{|s|\leqslant R}\left|q^{-s-3/4-i\tau}L(\lambda,\alpha,s+3/4+i\tau)-f(s)\right|<\varepsilon\right\}>0.$$

^{*}Partially supported by Grant from Lithuanian Foundation of Studies and Science.

Proof of the lemma is given in [1].

Proof of the theorem. Denote by \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} the sets of all real and all complex numbers, respectively. Let a function $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^N$ be defined by the formula

$$h(t) = \left(\left(q^{-\sigma - it} L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it) \right), \left(q^{-\sigma - it} L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it) \right)', \dots, \left(q^{-\sigma - it} L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + it) \right)^{(N-1)} \right), \quad \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1.$$

At first we will show that the image of \mathbb{R} is dense in \mathbb{C}^N .

It is sufficient to prove that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and arbitrary complex numbers $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{N-1}$ there exists a real number τ such that

$$\left|L^{(j)}(\lambda,\alpha,\sigma+i\tau)-s_j\right|<\varepsilon$$
 (1)

for j = 0, 1, ..., N - 1. We consider a polynomial

$$p_N(s) = \frac{s_{N-1}s^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} + \ldots + \frac{s_1s}{1!} + \frac{s_0}{0!}.$$

Then we have that

$$p_N^{(j)}(0) = s_j$$

for $j=0,1,\ldots,N-1$. Let $\hat{\sigma},1/2<\hat{\sigma}<1$, be a fixed number and let K be a disc of the strip $\{s\in\mathbb{C}:\ 1/2<\sigma<1\}$ of radius R<1/4 centered at 3/4. Denote by δ the distance of $\hat{\sigma}$ from the boundary of K. Then by Lemma 1 there exists a number τ such that

$$\max_{s \in K} \left| q^{-s-i\tau} L(\lambda, \alpha, s+i\tau) - p_N(s-\hat{\sigma}) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon \delta^N}{2^N N!}. \tag{2}$$

By the Cauchy integral formula

$$(q^{-s-i\tau}L(\lambda,\alpha,\hat{\sigma}+i\tau))^{(j)} - s_j$$

$$= \frac{j!}{2\pi i} \int_{|s-\hat{\sigma}|=\delta/2} \frac{q^{-s-i\tau}L(\lambda,\alpha,s+i\tau) - p_N(s-\hat{\sigma})}{(s-\hat{\sigma})^{j+1}} ds.$$

Therefore (1) is a simple consequence of the inequality (2).

To prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that $F_n \equiv 0$.

Suppose that $F_n \not\equiv 0$. Then there exists a bounded region D in \mathbb{C}^N such that the inequality

$$|F_n(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{N-1})| > c > 0$$
 (3)

42 A. Laurinčikas

holds for all points $(s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{N-1}) \in D$. By the first part of the proof there exists a sequence $\{t_k\}$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_k = \infty$, such that

$$\left(q^{-\sigma-it_k}L(\lambda,\alpha,\sigma+it_k),\left(q^{-\sigma-it_k}L(\lambda,\alpha,\sigma+it_k)\right)',\ldots,\right.$$

$$\left(q^{-\sigma-it_k}L(\lambda,\alpha,\sigma+it_k)\right)^{(N-1)}\in D.$$

However, this and (3) contradict the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence we obtain that $F_n \equiv 0$, and the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Laurinčikas, On the Lerch zeta-function with rational parameters, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, 38(1) (1998), 113-124.

Viena funkcinė Lercho dzeta funkcijos savybė

A. Laurinčikas (VU)

Straipsnyje nagrinėjama Lercho dzeta funkcijos funkcinė nepriklausomybė. Yra įrodoma, jog Lercho dzeta funkcija netenkina jokios algebrinės-diferencialinės lygties.