

Simple proof of the second order renewal theorem

A. Baltrūnas (MII)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. positive random variables with common distribution F . We assume, throughout this paper, that F is non-singular. Suppose that $0 < a^{-1} = \mathbf{E}X_1 < \infty$. Let $S_0 = 0$; $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, $n > 0$, be so-called random walk. One of the central objects in renewal theory is the family $\mathcal{N}(t) = \inf\{n \geq 1: S_n > t\}$, $t \geq 0$, of first passage times for S_n , $n \geq 0$. The expectation $U(t) = \mathbf{E}\mathcal{N}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is the so-called renewal function.

A number of authors have investigated the asymptotic behaviour of $U(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see [1] and references contained therein). Sufficiently good estimates of high order $U(t)$ asymptotics are hardly obtainable. In this paper, we present a simple proof of the second order renewal theorem, moreover, here obtained the estimates are valid for a large class of subexponential distributions.

Definition 1. The distribution function F belongs to the subexponential class S , if its tail $\bar{F} := 1 - F$ satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F} * \bar{F}(t) / \bar{F}(t) = 2$, where $*$ denotes the Stieltjes convolution of F with itself.

By \mathcal{F} we denote a set of all positive and measurable functions, defined on $[0, \infty)$. For any two functions h and g of \mathcal{F} we define their Lebesgue convolution $h \oplus g$ by

$$h \oplus g(t) = \int_0^t h(t-u)g(u)du, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In [2] the class OA of functions $g \in \mathcal{F}$ was defined.

We say that $g \in OA$ if

$$g \oplus g(t) = O(1)g(t) \int_0^t g(u)du.$$

Definition 2. The distribution function $F \in S$ belongs to the class OSD , if $\bar{F} \in OA$. Let us define the integrated tail distribution F_1 of F , i.e.,

$$F_1(t) = a \int_0^t \bar{F}(u)du, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then the next result is true.

THEOREM. If $F_1 \in OSD$, then as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$U(t) - at - a \int_0^t \bar{F}_1(u) du = O(1) \bar{F}_1(t) \int_0^t \bar{F}_1(u) du. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let us define $Z(t) = U(t) - at$, $t \geq 0$. From Theorem 3.1.11 in [1] it follows that if $F_1 \in OSD$, then

$$A := \sup_{t \geq 0} |Z(t+1) - Z(t)| / \bar{F}_1(t+1) < \infty. \quad (2)$$

We have

$$U(t) - at - a \int_0^t \bar{F}_1(u) du = \bar{F}_1(t) Z(t) + a \int_0^t (Z(t) - Z(t-y)) \bar{F}(y) dy. \quad (3)$$

First of all we choose a positive integer N large enough and rewrite the term $a \int_0^t (Z(t) - Z(t-y)) \bar{F}(y) dy$ as $I + II$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I &= a \int_0^{t-N} (Z(t) - Z(t-y)) \bar{F}(y) dy, \\ II &= a \int_{t-N}^t (Z(t) - Z(t-y)) \bar{F}(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

As to II , we have that $II = O(1) Z(t) \bar{F}(t) = o(1) Z(t) \bar{F}_1(t)$. Next consider I . We have that for $y: k \leq y \leq k+1$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) - Z(t-y) &= Z(t) - Z(t-k) + Z(t-k) - Z(t-y) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (Z(t-r) - Z(t-r-1)) + Z(t-k) - Z(t-y). \end{aligned}$$

From (2) it follows that

$$|Z(t) - Z(t-k)| \leq A \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \bar{F}_1(t-r) \leq A \int_{t-k}^t \bar{F}_1(u) du.$$

Using part (b) of Theorem 3.1.11 in [1] we conclude that for $k < t - N$ there exists a constant C such that

$$Z(t - k) - Z(t - y) \leq C \bar{F}_1(t - k)(y - k) \leq C \int_{t-y}^{t-k} \bar{F}_1(u) du.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I &= O(1) \int_0^t \left(\int_{t-y}^t \bar{F}_1(u) du \right) \bar{F}(y) dy = O(1) \int_0^t \int_{t-y}^t \bar{F}_1(u) du d\bar{F}_1(y) \\ &= O(1) \bar{F}_1(t) \int_0^t \bar{F}_1(u) du + O(1) \bar{F}_1 \oplus \bar{F}_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F_1 \in OSD$, we obtain that $I = O(1) \bar{F}_1(t) \int_0^t \bar{F}_1(u) du$. Now combine this estimate with the estimate for II . It readily follows that

$$U(t) - at - a \left(1 - \bar{F}_1(t) \right)^{-1} \int_0^t \bar{F}_1(u) du = O(1) \bar{F}_1(t) \int_0^t \bar{F}_1(u) du.$$

This completes the proof of the result.

Remark. As follows from Theorem 1.2 in [3] we have that estimate (1) is optimal.

COROLLARY. If $EX_1^2 < \infty$, then under the assumptions of the Theorem it follows that

$$U(t) - at - a \int_0^t \bar{F}_1(u) du = O(1) \bar{F}_1(t)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. G. Frenk, On Banach algebras, renewal measures and regenerative processes, *CWI Tract.*, **38** (1987),
- [2] A. Baltrūnas and E. Omey, The rate of convergence for subexponential distributions, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, **38** (1998), 1–18.
- [3] J. L. Geluk, A renewal theorem in the finite-mean case, *Proc. Amer. Math. Society*, **125** (1997), 3407–3413.

Papraistas antros eilės atstatymo teoremos įrodymas

A. Baltrūnas

Darbe pateikiamas papraistas antros eilės atstatymo teoremos įrodymas plačiai subeksponentinių skirstinių klasei.