LMD konf. darbai, 11–16 1997, Vilnius

# On simultaneous approximation of algebraic conjugates by roots of unity

## A. Dubickas (VU)

Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number of degree  $d \ge 2$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d$  its conjugates and

$$P(x) = a(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \dots (x - \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

its minimal polynomial. We define the Mahler measure of  $\alpha$ ,  $M(\alpha)$ , by

$$M(\alpha) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \left| P(e^{it}) \right| dt\right) = |a| \prod_{k=1}^{d} \max\left(1, |\alpha_k|\right).$$

In 1994, M. Mignotte and M. Waldschmidt [8] gave the following lower bound:

$$|\alpha - 1| > \exp\left(-(1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{d\log d\log M(\alpha)}\right) \tag{1}$$

provided that  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $d > d_0(\varepsilon)$  and  $\alpha$  is an algebraic number of degree d which is not a root of unity. In 1995 [4], the constant  $1 + \varepsilon$  in this inequality was replaced by  $\sqrt{2/3} + \varepsilon$ . Finally, in [5] the author obtained the constant  $\pi/4 + \varepsilon < \sqrt{2/3} + \varepsilon$ . On the other hand, F. Amoroso [1] proved that the estimate (1) is not far from being optimal. He gave an example showing that the inequality (1) can be strengthened only at the expense of log d, but not  $\sqrt{d}$ . He also gave an example of the polynomial  $\Phi$  for which "good" upper bound for the quantity

$$h(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \max\left(\log |\Phi(e^{it})|, 0\right) dt$$

is equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis. The measure  $h(\Phi)$  was introduced by M. Mignotte [7].

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree d and let  $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(n)}$  be multiplicatively independent elements of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Recently F. Amoroso [2] obtained a lower bound for  $\max_{1 \le j \le n} |\alpha^{(j)} - 1|$  in terms of  $d, n, M(\alpha^{(1)}), M(\alpha^{(2)}), \ldots, M(\alpha^{(n)})$ . On the other hand, the author [6] announced a theorem on simultaneous approximation where different conjugates of  $\alpha$  were approximated by different roots of unity: THEOREM 1. Let  $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_m\}$  be the set of different roots of unity of degrees  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m$  respectively. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an effective  $d_0 = d_0(\varepsilon, m)$  such that for  $d > d_0$ 

$$|\alpha_1 - \delta_1|^{1/n_1} \dots |\alpha_m - \delta_m|^{1/n_m} > \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \varepsilon\right)\sqrt{d\log d\log M(\alpha)}\right), \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m$  are different conjugates of an algebraic number  $\alpha$  of degree d which is not a root of unity.

The case, when  $\alpha$  is a root of unity, is of no interest in the above theorem. After doing a little algebra in the case  $\alpha^d = 1$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ , one can easily get

$$|\alpha - 1| > (1 + o_d(1)) 2\pi e^{-\gamma} (d \log \log d)^{-1}$$

where  $o_d(1)$  is a function of *d* satisfying  $\lim_{d\to+\infty} o_d(1) = 0$ ,  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant. The above inequality is much stronger than (1). Analogously, one can get a much stronger inequality than (2) if  $\alpha$  is a root of unity. However, formally  $\log M(\alpha) = 0$  whenever  $\alpha$  is a root of unity, so we need this condition in the theorem.

In this paper we prove Theorem 1 and the following corollary:

COROLLARY. Suppose that M is a subset of  $\{1, 2, ..., s\}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an effective  $d_1 = d_1(\varepsilon, s)$  such that for  $d > d_1$ 

$$\sum_{j \in M} \frac{1}{j} \log \left| \Phi_j(\alpha_j) \right| > -\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \varepsilon\right) \sqrt{d \log d \log M(\alpha)},\tag{3}$$

where  $\{\alpha_j, j \in M\}$  are some conjugates of an algebraic number  $\alpha$  of degree d which is not a root of unity,  $\Phi_j$  is the j-th cyclotomic polynomial.

We recall that

$$\Phi_j(x) = \prod_{\zeta \in \mu_j^*} (x - \zeta)$$

where  $\mu_i^*$  is the set of primitive *j*-th roots of unity.

Proof of Theorem 1. In our paper [5] we have considered the following function:

$$R(z) = \prod_{1 \leq v < u \leq K} |z^{u-v} - 1|^{J_u J_v},$$

where  $J_u = [K \sin(\pi u/K)]$ . Let l = [(K - 1)/n] where n is a fixed natural number. The key point of our argument is to write the function R(z) in the following form:

$$R(z) = \prod^{(1)} |z^{jn} - 1|^{J_u J_v} \prod^{(2)} |z^{u-v} - 1|^{J_u J_v}.$$

Here the product  $\prod^{(1)}$  is for u, v such that u - v = jn,  $1 \le j \le l$ , and the product  $\prod^{(2)}$  is for u, v such that u - v is not divisible by n.

Since

$$|z^{jn} - 1| = |z^n - 1| |1 + z^n + z^{2n} + \ldots + z^{(j-1)n}| \le |z^n - 1| \max(1, |z|)^{(j-1)n} j,$$

we bound

$$\prod^{(1)} \leq |z^n - 1|^{\sum_{l} J_u J_v} \max\left(1, |z|\right)^{\sum_{l} (u-v) J_u J_v} \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{l} J_u J_v \log\left((u-v)/n\right)\right).$$

Here  $\sum_{i}$  denotes the sum over u and v such that u - v is divisible by n. As for the product  $\prod^{(2)}$ , we have

$$\prod^{(2)} \leq \max(1, |z|)^{\sum_{2} (u-v) J_{u} J_{v}} \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{2} J_{u} J_{v} \log 2\right),$$

where  $\sum_{2}$  denotes the sum over u and v such that u - v is not divisible by n. Combining the estimates for  $\prod^{(1)}$  and  $\prod^{(2)}$ , we have

$$R(z) \leq |z^{n} - 1|^{\sum_{l} J_{u} J_{v}} \max(1, |z|)^{\sum_{l} J_{u} J_{v}(u-v)} \times \exp\left(\sum_{l} J_{u} J_{v} \log(u-v) + \sum_{2} J_{u} J_{v}\right).$$

$$(4)$$

For K tending to infinity we get (see [5])

$$B = \sum J_{u} J_{v}(u - v) \sim K^{5}/2\pi^{2}.$$
 (5)

Analogously,

$$L(n) = \sum_{1} J_{u} J_{v} \sim 2K^{4} / n\pi^{2}, \qquad (6)$$

$$H = \sum_{1} J_{u} J_{v} \log(u - v) + \sum_{2} J_{u} J_{v} \sim c_{1} K^{4} \log K,$$
(7)

where  $c_1$  is some absolute constant.

On the other hand (see (7) and (12) in [5]),

$$R(z) < \max\left(1, |z|\right)^{\sum J_{u}J_{v}(u-v)}e^{C}, \qquad (8)$$

where

$$C \sim \frac{1}{4} K^3 \log K. \tag{9}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is not a root of unity, the product

$$|a|^B \prod_{j=1}^d R(\alpha_j)$$

is a non-zero integer. For  $1 \le j \le m$ , we estimate  $R(\alpha_j)$  by (4) taking  $n = n_j$ . For j > m, we estimate  $R(\alpha_j)$  by (8). Thus, by (4)–(9)

$$1 < \prod_{j=1}^{m} |\alpha_{j}^{n_{j}} - 1|^{L(n_{j})} M(\alpha)^{B} e^{mH + dC},$$
  
$$1 < e^{T} \prod_{j=1}^{m} |\alpha_{j}^{n_{j}} - 1|^{1/n_{j}},$$

where

$$T \sim \frac{\pi^2 B \log M(\alpha)}{2K^4} + \frac{\pi^2 (mH + dC)}{2K^4}$$
$$\sim \frac{K \log M(\alpha)}{4} + \frac{\pi^2 d \log K}{8K} + c_2 \log K$$

Now taking  $K = [\pi \sqrt{d \log d / 4 \log M(\alpha)}]$ , for d tending to infinity, we obtain

$$T \sim \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{d \log d \log M(\alpha)}.$$

Hence, for  $d > d_0$ 

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left| \alpha_{j}^{n_{j}} - 1 \right|^{1/n_{j}} > \exp\left( -\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \varepsilon\right) \sqrt{d \log d \log M(\alpha)} \right).$$
(10)

Without loss of generality we can assume that  $|\alpha_j| \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq m$ . Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} \left| \left( \alpha_{j}^{n_{j}} - 1 \right) / \left( \alpha_{j} - \delta_{j} \right) \right|^{1/n_{j}} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m} 3^{(n_{j}-1)/n_{j}} < 3^{m},$$

and the inequality (2) follows.

Proof of the Corollary. Since

$$x^j - 1 = \prod_{r \mid j} \Phi_r(x),$$

we have  $|\alpha_j^j - 1| \leq c(s)\Phi_j(\alpha_j)$ . Utilizing (10), where  $n_j = j$  and each  $\alpha$  is with the required index, we get (3).

Alternatively, we can use the following statement (proposition 3.3 in [9]): for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  not a root of unity and satisfying  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ , and for all integers  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\min_{\zeta \in \mu_m^*} |\alpha - \zeta| \leq |\Phi_m(\alpha)| (118m)^{3\sigma(m)/2}$$

Here  $\sigma(m)$  is the number of divisors of m. Now utilizing the well known estimate (see e.g. [3])

$$\sigma(m) < c_3 m^{(1+\varepsilon)\log 2/\log\log m}.$$

we can get the explicit expression for c(s).

We proved the inequality (3) when the degree d is "large" compared to the maximal j. On the other hand, J. Silverman [9] gave a lower bound for  $\log |\Phi_j(\alpha)|$  in the case when j is "large" compared to d. He proved the following result, which is essentially due to C. Stewart [10]: let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic integer of degree  $d \ge 2$  that is not a root of unity. If  $j \ge (1000d)^{265}$ , then

$$\log |\Phi_i(\alpha)| > (1000d)^{50} j^{3/5}.$$

In the following theorem we give the lower bound for  $\log |\Phi_j(\alpha)|$ . This strengthens the inequality (3) when card M = 1.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number which is not a root of unity, d its degree and  $M(\alpha)$  its Mahler's measure. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and j > 2 there exists an effective constant  $d_2 = d_2(\varepsilon, j)$  such that for  $d > d_2$ 

$$\log |\Phi_j(\alpha)| > -\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{j}}{8} + \varepsilon\right)\sqrt{d\log d\log M(\alpha)},$$

where  $\Phi_j$  is the *j*-th cyclotomic polynomial.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* In section 3 of our paper [5] we obtained the following lower bound:

$$\left|\alpha - \exp(2\pi i r/j)\right| > \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{j}}{8} + \varepsilon\right)\sqrt{d\log d\log M(\alpha)}\right)$$
 (11)

whenever (r, j) = 1 and  $\exp(2\pi i r/j)$  is not equal to  $\pm 1$ . If j > 2, then the polynomial  $\Phi_j(x)$  has no real roots. Thus, the inequality (11) holds.

Let  $\exp(2\pi i r/j)$  be the nearest primitive *j*-th root of unity to  $\alpha$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that, e.g.,

$$\left|\alpha - \exp(2\pi i r/j)\right| < j^{-2}$$

Then obviously  $\alpha$  is well separated from the other primitive *j*-th roots of unity, and we have

$$\left|\alpha - \exp(2\pi i r/j)\right| < |\Phi_j(\alpha)|c(j)|.$$

This combined with the inequality (11) implies Theorem 2.

#### A. Dubickas

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Amoroso, Algebraic numbers close to 1 and variants of Mahler's measure, J. Number Theory, **60** (1996), 80–96.
- [2] F. Amoroso, Algebraic numbers close to 1: Results and methods (to appear).
- [3] T. Apostol, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [4] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte and F. Normandin, Nombres algébriques de petite mesure et formes linéaires en un logarithme, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. 1, 321 (1995), 517-522.
- [5] A. Dubickas, On algebraic numbers of small measure, Liet. Matem. Rink., 35 (4) (1995), 421-431.
- [6] A. Dubickas, Approximation of conjugate algebraic numbers by roots of unity, Proc. of 3-rd Intern. Conf. "Contemporary problems in Number Theory and its Applications", September 9–14, Tula, 1996, 50 (in Russian),
- [7] M. Mignotte, Remarque sur une question relative à des functions conjuguées, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. 1, 315 (1992), 907-911.
- [8] M. Mignotte and M. Waldschmidt, On algebraic numbers of small height: linear forms in one logarithm, J. Number Theory, 47 (1994), 43-62.
- [9] J. H. Silverman, Exceptional units and numbers of small Mahler measure, *Experimental Mathematics*, 4 (1995), 69–83.
- [10] C. L. Stewart, Primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers, in: Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications, A. Baker and D. W. Masser (Eds), Academic Press, London, 1977, 79-92.

### Apie vienalaikę jungtinių algebrinių skaičių aproksimaciją šaknimis iš vieneto

#### A. Dubickas

Darbe nagrinėjama jungtinių algebrinių skaičių aproksimacija šaknimis iš vieneto. Gautas atitinkamas apatinis įvertis nenaudojant algebrinio skaičiaus laipsnį ir jo Malerio matą.