

# The distributions of additive functions with finite supports

Jonas ŠIAULYS (VU)

*e-mail: jonas.siaulys@maf.vu.lt*

## 1. Introduction

Let  $f_x$  be a set ( $x \geq 2$ ) of integer-valued strongly additive functions (s. a. f) and  $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$  for each prime number  $p$ . Let

$$\nu_x(f_x(m) < u) = [x]^{-1} \#\{m \leq x, f_x(m) < u\}$$

be the distribution function of a s. a. f.  $f_x$  from this set. The distributions  $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$  we will call having finite support if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(f_x(m) > c) = 0,$$

for some constant  $c$ .

In this work we will show that finite support of distributions  $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$  separate the values  $f_x(p)$  for small primes  $p$  ( $p \leq \text{const}$ ) from the values  $f_x(p)$  for large primes  $p$  ( $p \geq x^\alpha$ ).

**Theorem.** *Let  $f_x$ ,  $x \geq 2$ , be a set of s. a. f.,  $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$  for each prime number. The next two conditions are equivalent.*

(a) *It exists a constant  $c$  such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(f_x(m) > c) = 0.$$

(b) *There exists constants  $D$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  for which*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{D < p \leq x^\alpha \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} = 0.$$

**2. Preliminary results**

**Lemma 1** [1]. *Let  $h(m)$  be an arbitrary real-valued additive function. There is an absolute constant  $c_1$  such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ h(m)=a}} 1 \leq c_1 x \left( \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ h(p) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

**Lemma 2** [2]. *Let  $f_x$  be a set of s. a. f. and  $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$  for each prime number  $p$ . Let  $\hat{x}_n$  be an arbitrary unbounded increasing sequence. The distribution  $\nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) < u)$  converges weakly if and only if the limits*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq \hat{x}_n}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{l-1} \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_{l-1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-2}}}^* \sum_{\substack{p_l \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_l \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-1}}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l} = g_l$$

exist for each natural number  $l$ . Here the superscript  $*$  over the sign of sum means that the summation is expanded over primes for which  $f_{\hat{x}_n}(p) = 1$ . Moreover, the limiting distribution has characteristic function

$$1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_l}{l!} (e^{it} - 1)^l.$$

**3. Proof of Theorem**

**I.** Suppose that condition (a) is satisfied. Let  $x_n$  be unbounded increasing sequence. There exists a subsequence  $\hat{x}_n$  such that  $\nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) < u)$  converges weakly to some distribution function  $F(u)$ . From Lemma 2 we obtain that limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq \hat{x}_n}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{l-1} \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_{l-1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-2}}}^* \sum_{\substack{p_l \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_l \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-1}}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_l} = \varphi_l$$

exist for each  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $F(u)$  has the characteristic function

$$1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_l}{l!} (e^{it} - 1)^l.$$

It follows from condition (a) that for  $L = [c]$

$$\varphi_{L+1} = \varphi_{L+2} = \dots = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq \hat{x}_n}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{p_L \leq \hat{x}_n}^* \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq \hat{x}_n \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} = 0.$$

Since  $x_n$  is an arbitrary unbounded increasing sequence, we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{p_L \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq x \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here and later the superscript \* over the sign of sum means that the summation is expanded over primes for which  $f_x(p) = 1$ .

Let now  $d$  be natural number and

$$a_d = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq d: f_x(p) = 1\}.$$

If  $d^{L+1} \leq x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p_1 \leq d}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq d \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq d \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} \\ & \leq \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{p_L \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq x \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_L}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (1) we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq d}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq d \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq d \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} = 0.$$

It follows from the last equality that  $a_d \leq L$ . The sequence  $a_d$  is not decreasing and bounded. Consequently, it exists

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} a_d = a^*.$$

Since the sequence  $a_d$  is integer-valued, there exists a natural number  $D$  for which  $a_d = a_D = a^*$  if  $d \geq D$ . Hence for each  $d \geq D$  we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq d: f_x(p) = 1\} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq D: f_x(p) = 1\} \leq L.$$

Using the last equality we obtain that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_x(p) = 0$$

for each fixed prime number  $p > D$ . Thus

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{D < p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Let as above  $x_n$  is the unbounded increasing sequence. There exists a subsequence  $\hat{x}_n$  such that  $\nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) < u)$  converge weakly. According to the Lemma 1

$$\nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) = l) \ll \left( \sum_{\substack{p \leq \hat{x}_n \\ f_{\hat{x}_n}(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1/2}$$

for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, L$ .

From (a) follows the existence of  $l^*$  for which

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{\hat{x}_n}(f_{\hat{x}_n}(m) = l^*) \geq \frac{1}{L+1}.$$

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{p \leq \hat{x}_n \\ f_{\hat{x}_n}(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} \ll (L+1)^2.$$

Since  $x_n$  is an arbitrary unbounded increasing sequence, then the last inequality implies

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} \ll (L+1)^2. \tag{3}$$

Using the equality (2) and the estimation (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{D < p_1 \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \dots \sum_{D < p_i \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \dots \sum_{\substack{D < p_j \leq x^{1/L+1} \\ p_j = p_i}}^* \dots \sum_{D < p_{L+1} \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{L+1}} \\ & \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{D < p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} \left( \sum_{p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} \right)^L = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every pair  $i, j, 1 \leq i < j \leq L+1$ . Thus we get that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{D < p \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \frac{1}{p} \right)^{L+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x^{1/L+1}}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x^{1/L+1} \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq x^{1/L+1} \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{L+1}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_{L+1} \leq x \\ p_{L+1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_L \\ p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{L+1} \leq x}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{L+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The condition (b) follows now from the last estimation and equality (1).

II. Suppose now that condition (b) holds. Let  $l$  be a natural number. For large  $x$  ( $D^l \leq x$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ f_x(m) > l}} 1 &\leq \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m \leq x} f_x(m)(f_x(m) - 1) \cdots (f_x(m) - l + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_{l-1} \leq x \\ p_{l-1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-2}}}^* \sum_{\substack{p_l \leq x \\ p_l \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-1} \\ p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l \leq x}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l} \\ &\ll_l \sum_{j=1}^l \left( \sum_{D < p \leq x^\alpha}^* \frac{1}{p} \right)^j \left( \max \left( \sum_{p \leq D}^* \frac{1}{p}, \sum_{x^\alpha < p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} \right) \right)^{l-j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^l \sum_{p_1 \leq D}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq D \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_j \leq D \\ p_j \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_j} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{x^\alpha < p_{j+1} \leq x}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{x^\alpha < p_l \leq x \\ p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l \leq x}}^* \frac{1}{p_{j+1} \cdots p_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{p \leq D}^* \frac{1}{p} \ll \ln \ln D, \quad \sum_{x^\alpha < p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} \ll \ln \frac{1}{\alpha},$$

then the last estimation and condition (b) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(f_x(m) > l) \\ &\ll_l \sum_{j=0}^l \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq D}^* \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq D \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{p_j \leq D \\ p_j \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}}}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_j} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{x^\alpha < p_{j+1} \leq x}^* \cdots \sum_{\substack{x^\alpha < p_l \leq x \\ p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l \leq x}}^* \frac{1}{p_{j+1} \cdots p_l}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $l = 2(\max(\pi(D), [\frac{1}{\alpha}]) + 2)$  all terms of the last sum are equal zero. Hence the condition (a) holds with  $c = 2(\max(\pi(D), [\frac{1}{\alpha}]) + 2)$ . This proves the theorem.

## References

- [1] G. Halász, On the distribution of additive arithmetical functions, *Acta Arithm.*, **27**, 143–152 (1975).
- [2] J. Šiaulys, Factorial moments for distributions of additive functions, *Liet. Matem. Rink.*, **40**(4), 508–525 (2000) (in Russian).

## Adityviųjų funkcijų skirstiniai su baigtinėmis atramomis

J. Šiaulys

Darbe tiriama, kokią įtaką skirstinių  $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$  koncentracija baigtinėje gardelėje turi stipriai adityviųjų funkcijų šeimos  $f_x$  reikšmėms pirminiuose skaičiuose. Nagrinėjamos tos stipriai adityviosios funkcijos, kurioms  $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$  visiems pirminiams skaičiams  $p$ .