A CONTRASTIVE ANALYSIS OF ELATIVE CONSTRUCTIONS IN ENGLISH AND LITHUANIAN

Dalė Roikienė

Anglų filologijos katedra, Šiaulių Universitetas P. Višinskio 38, LT-5400 Šiauliai Tel.: 841 595783, el. paštas: daler@takas.lt

The article focuses on the semantic structure and the linguistic means to realize the semantic functions of the Elative constructions in English and Lithuanian. The Elative can be defined as a starting point (Source), from which an entity (Trajector) moves or has its origin. Besides, the construction includes the route taken (Path) and the end point (Goal, Landmark). In both languages Source may denote closed and open containers, a certain environment. In addition, abstract entities can also serve as a starting point. In this case, the initial point of movement is from a place of activity. In English the semantic components are realized syntactically, in Lithuanian syntactically and morphologically.

1. Introduction

The present paper presents an attempt to examine Elative constructions from both a semantic and syntactic point of views. Our description is contrastive and based on English and Lithuanian. As pointed out by Akhmanova (1972, 17), "modern linguistics is increasingly concerned with contrastive and typological studies of languages" and that "concentration of research on systematic comparison of non-cognate languages is not only a very important branch of linguistics but also one which is of great practical importance". Unsimilar to comparative historical philology, contrastive linguistics bases its analysis on meaning; meaning is the basis for contrastive analysis. Adopting the method of contrastive analysis, the scholar can not only gain an insight into the specificity of the languages being contrasted but also reveal the differences and similarities between them. From the practical point of view, of paramount importance is the discovery of differential features. It is differential features that are the most important in translation and teaching foreign languages.

The paper consists of two parts. In the first part, attention is concentrated on the semantic structure of Elative constructions, the basis for the contrastive study of the two languages. In the second part, attention is concentrated on the linguistic means used by the two languages to realize the semantic functions (components) of the Elative construction.

2. Semantic structure of Elative constructions

Every living creature as well as every inanimate object exists in some spatial environment. "Spatial" existence is a really striking feature of human perception: three-dimensional images reach every sane person's eyes almost all the time; everyone can permanently feel motions in space and changing one's coordinates; finally, sounds reaching our ears often betray themselves to have come from some

faraway place. Spatial environment is a basis for every existence, as nothing could be imagined without a room where it is "placed" by the reality. To human understanding, the non-existence of space is impossible; nor is that of time or information.

Objects existing in space are "spread" in certain points of the Universe. Yet the objective definition of their coordinates cannot exist without naming their relations with other objects. In other words, the spatial disposition of a focal object is characterized in terms of another object. Linguists working on space relations use different terms for objects which are in some spatial relationship. For example, Talmy (1983, 232) uses the terms Figure and Ground taken from Gestalt psychology. Gruber's (1976, 38) Theme and Langacker's (1979, 51) Trajector are used for a focal object and Langacker's Landmark compares with the Goal. In Lithuanian philology Valiulyte uses the terms lokalizuotas daiktas (L) and orientyras (O) (1998, 23). In this article the reference point or area is called the Goal (G) and the item whose location or movement is specified the Trajector (T).

According to Valeika (1998, 77-90), the following kinds of space relations can be distinguished in English and Lithuanian:

The Inessive - being inside the entity.

The Illative - moving into the entity.

The Elative - moving out of inside the entity.

The Adessive - being at the entity.

The Allative - moving closer to the entity.

The Ablative - moving further from the entity.

The Superessive - being on the surface of the entity.

The Sublative - moving onto the surface of the entity.

The Delative - moving from the surface of the entity.

In inflected languages Elative is a case which basically indicates movement out of, e.g. išbėgo iš kambario 'ran out of the room'. More specifically, in an Elative situation the entity changes its location – from an initial location it moves to a final location: we will refer to the locations as the Source (S) and the Goal (G), respectively. Thus the Elative can be defined as a directed movement whose starting point is a certain area within an entity (the Source), and the destination point (the Goal), outside. The semantic structure of Elative constructions includes not only the process denoting the Trajector's movement out of the Source, but also the Goal and the Path, which are optional elements.

The Source can express the origin of the process. The Source is understood as a place of origin from which something arises, issues, or is fetched. In such cases there is no focus on motion proceeding from the Source; the Source is just stated to be the point or centre where something takes its origin, rises, has its beginning, springs or is derived. At times the Source is indicated in a general way, for example, as when something is said to derive from miles around or from the east, west, north, south, i.e. distance and direction may also act as a source. The Trajector is sometimes a non-physical phenomenon, e.g. a bodily sensation originating from a given source. The verb may not express movement, e.g. a dynamic process; it often expresses a stative process. This phenomenon may be observed, for example, in the case of the verb come, which may denote the following types of meaning:

- 1. The meaning of originate, arise, as in: The river comes from a lake in the mountains.
- 2. Be an inhabitant, or be born, as in: He comes from London.
- 3. Appear, become noticeable, as in: A cough came from her throat.
- 4. Be the place of origin of a material or product, as in: Coffee comes from Brazil.
- 5. Pass into somebody's possession, as in: Her fortune came to her from her uncle.

In cases, when the origin is expressed, the *Trajector*, which has formed an integral part of the *Source*, becomes separated from the latter and does not longer belong to it. Cf.

(1) The devious old road (T), hewn out of the mountainside(S), was full of it (AB).

Here also belong cases of removal of something written, printed etc. from a sheet of paper, a document, a book or other publication, list, register etc. Cf.

(2) The inscription (T) was scratched out of the book (S).

The Trajector, usually a person, in taking food or drink, uses the Source as a receptacle or similar device from which it can be obtained. Cf.

(3) He drank beer out of a bottle (S).

The *Trajector* denoting liquid or gaseous matter is caused to run or stream out of a receptacle or container because there is not enough room for the liquid or gas in it, or because pressure is applied to squeeze it out. Cf.

(4) The liquid (T) will spout out of the container (S) once it reaches a given level in it.

Generally speaking, the Source is the starting point, i.e. a point or place from which motion starts or is directed. This kind of relation varies considerably in kind due to different relations between the Trajector and the Source. The nature of locative relation existing between the Trajector and the Source is determined by the peculiarities of the Source.

The Source may denote a three-dimensional body or a closed container. To be more specific, the Trajector moves or is removed out of a container seen as a simple locality which may be a building, part of a building supplying some kind of exit out of. The Source may also denote a means of transport from which the Trajector moves or is removed. Cf.

- (5) About nine o'clock of the same night human beings (T) came out of a cheap restaurant (S) in Sixth avenue (FF).
- (6) She was still quite angry when she (T) came out of the dressing-room (S)<...> (FF).
- (7) One of your sobers (T) got shoved out of the back window (S) and killed himself (FF).
- (8) He's walking up and down like a wild-man trying to find out who (S) let all the gasoline out of his car (S) (FF).
- (9) A devil (T) thrown out of a woman (T) by the Archbishop of Rheims ran through the trees, <...> (AB). Cf. Lith.
- (10) O brolis su broliene (T) jau ginė iš tvarto (S) karvę (BR).
- (11) Kai lovą apstojo vaikai, kai, iš kamaraitės (S) atlėkęs, pripuolė prie jos anūkėlis (T), ji gulėjo vos vos pravėrusi lūpas, <...> (BR).
- (12) <...> iš tarpdurio (S) per nusmukusių ant nosies akinių viršų į juos žvelgė Vaitasiaus tėvas (T), apykurtis, tačiau kažkokiu būdu visuomet nugirstantis tokius žodžius (BR).
- (13) Iš pajuodusios burnos (S) veržėsi melsva liepsnelė (T) (BR).

Very often the Source is an open container. Here belong such open spaces or areas as yard, court, stadium; some pieces of furniture in contexts when reference is to resting-places such as a chair, seat, bed, from which the Trajector moves or is removed. A dish can also be understood as an open container. Clothing occurs in instances in which it is implied either that clothes are removed wholly or partially from a person's body; or that the person has grown too big or stout to wear a specific article, or set, of clothing. Cf.

(14) They (T) were soon gone again, rising from their seats (S) with an activity which took their brother by surprise, and hurrying off as if eager to escape from Mrs (JA).

- (15) The Greeks before Troy were so harried by Apollo that they (T) jumped out of the frying-pan (S) of the wrath of Cryses into the fire of wrath of Achilles, though Agamemnon, the sole offender, was neither fried nor roasted (AB).
- (16) Into the dressing-room she (T) sped, kicked out of one dress (S) and into another, and caught a taxi outside (FF). Cf. Lith.
- (17) Vos iškiša galvą iš kiemo (S), lekia į jį visa kruša sudužusių kiauliašūdžių (VK).
- (18) Jis (T) dar prisimena, kad skambėjo visą laiką, ko laukė, ko iš karto nešoko iš lovos? (S) (BR).
- (19) Vienas (T) kaulą, nespėjusį išvirti, iš puodo (S) išgriebė, kitas duonos riekę ir vėl į lauką gaudyk kaip vėją (BR).
- (20) Raišas, žiemą vasarą neišlendąs iš vatinių kelnių (S), rausvais išpurtusiais skruostais, suaižytais plonyčių kapiliarų, mažomis pilkšvomis, keistai žvilgančiomis akutėmis (BR).

Very often the *Trajector* moves or is removed out of the position where it is fully or partially surrounded by the *Source* (usually some substance) and has close contacts with it. To put it another way, the *Trajector* moves or is moved from the depth of the *Source*. Cf.

- (21) A moment later a man's head (T) appeared to rise out of the ground (S) a short distance away AB. Cf. Lith.
- (22) <...> ir liepsna (T), kuri plazda krosnyje, išsunkdama iš malkų (S) drėgmę, iki raudonumo įkaitindama plytas, ta liepsna jau greitai užges, <...> (BR).

The Trajector can move or be removed out of a certain environment. The Source may denote the space which surrounds the earth; weather phenomena, phenomena of light or darkness (e.g. out of the rain). The Source can have larger dimensions in terms of size, length, height, etc. What is meant here is losing physical support. The limits of the Source may not be clearly distinguished (e.g. ouf of the sky). The Trajector can move or be removed out of an area (e.g. out of the road, out of the field). Cf.

- (23) There came to them out of the fog (S) seemingly from a great distance the sound of laugh, a low, deliberate, soulless laugh (T) <...> (AB).
- (24) It was quite dark when they (T) swerved out of the road (S) and up a long dusky driveway to the Whitney place, <...> (FF).
- (25) He (T) is at all times a vague figure, never clearly drawn, frequently altogether out of the picture (S) (AB). Cf. Lith.
- (26) Bet jis (T) išnėrė iš miglų (S) ir, sucypęs stabdžiais, sustojo pasiimti jaunos keleivė (MK).
- (27) Noreika (T) neramiai apsidairė; paskui, išėmęs iš dantų pypkę (S), vėl nusispjovė ir lūpas nusišluostė marškinių rankove (VK).
- (28) Nuožmumo ir mirties lavina (T), išsiveržusi iš Vidurio Europos (S), čia išmetė savo atskalas, paliko stabus bei kraupias, apsinuoginusias bejėgiško pykčio grimasas (BR).

Abstract nouns can also serve as the Source. They usually denote the initial point of movement from a place of activity. In this case the Source denotes an action or a process (from work, meeting, lecture). The initial state can be denoted by the Source with verbs denoting a change of state. The Source can express the cause of (usually negative) emotions (out of pity, out of fear) and the manner of action. Cf.

- (29) Possibly she (T) would come out of bondage (S) into freedom (G) who knows (TD).
- (30) One of the barmen (T), out of pity (S), loaned in a heavy cap and a pair of sheepskin gloves, and for once he was extremely thankful (TD). Cf. Lith.
- (31) Vakar ji liepė sūnui (T) parjoti iš naktigonės (S) ir įleisti arklį sodelin, kad geriau pasiganytų (VK).

- (32) Mėnuo (T) dar neišėjęs iš pilnaties (T), patekės netrukus po sutemų (MK).
- (33) Viskas (T) ėjo iš prievartos, iš amžino trūkumo, iš vargo (S), nuolankiai prisipažino Krivickas Vargdienis (MK).

3. The realization of the semantic structure of Elative constructions in the surface structure

On the whole, the ways of realization include quite a number of different grammatical and lexical devices. In synthetic languages a crucial role should be played by morphology. However, in expressing spatial relations, synthetic languages generally use both inflexions and prepositions.

In analytic languages space relations are, as a rule, realized syntactically, i.e. by noun and prepositional combinations: what is expressed by inflexions in synthetic languages is expressed by prepositions in analytic languages. David C. Bennett (1975, 1) enumerates 38 prepositions to express space relations in the English language.

Referring to Elative relations, the Source in English is generally marked by out of and from. The Source these prepositions mark denote an entity from which motion issues or is directed. The said prepositions are used with three-dimensional entities: He was in the room; he came out of the room. He was in the field; he walked out off from the field. In these examples the previous position of the Trajector was in the Source. The verbal phrase may be intransitive, e.g., as in the case of verbs such as depart, leave, start, go, come, disappear etc.; or transitive, e.g., as in the case of verbs such as transport, send, dispatch, remove. Among transitive verbs there are also verbs such as ask, help, order, direct, used in cases in which it is implied either that a person or thing is induced to move; or that somebody or something is started in a given direction.

Very often, instead of out of, the preposition from, or away from, out from is found. She walked out of the place or She walked /away/ from the place. He came out of the basement or He came from the basement. Out of adds a more specific sense (stressing the idea of a three-dimensional space) to the phrase than from does. From may often be regarded as a weakened substitute for out of. It will be noted that out of, from require the expression of the Source, while out/outside and away do not. Cf. 1. Mary went out of the room. vs. Mary went out. 2. The table was moved outside/out of the house; She was not allowed to go outside/out of the grounds. These examples illustrate the fact that the opposites inside – outside may be used with verbs denoting motion, as well. Cf. The table was moved outside. vs. The table was outside. With outside, attention is drawn to the limits or periphery of the Source. Outside thus refers to the outer parts or the external surface of the Source. With out of, attention is focused on the locality as a whole. To be more specific, out of- phrases make the motion or direction begin at the interior of the Source, while outside marks only the act of coming through the periphery.

The Path, which is an optional component, is marked in English by the prepositions across, along, through, via, round, up: We walked through the park to the church. We walked up the hill to the church. Sometimes the local meaning of the preposition may be incorporated into the meaning of the verb (Gruber 1976, 32). In such cases we witness the objectivization of the Source. Cf.

- (34) John left the house John went away from the house. (or John went out of the house).
- The verb escape also implies motion from the Source, which may be objectivized:
- (35) John escaped (from) the room.
- (36) John escaped (from) Bill.

The process of objectivization may also extend to the Path and the Goal:

- (37) We walked the streets. Cf. We walked along the streets.
- (38) John entered the room, Cf. John went into the room,

In Lithuanian the most common way of marking the Source is the preposition iš: Jis išbėgo iš klasės. According to Valiulytė (1998, 40), the Path can also be marked by the preposition po: Jis turės ištisus metus vaikščioti po leidėjus. Nenuobodu: vaikštau po koncertus, teatrus. Such constructions could be easily paraphrased as: Jis turės vaikščioti iš leidėjo pas leidėją. Vaikštau iš koncerto į koncertą, iš teatro į teatrą. Constructions with nouns referring to persons may be interchangeable with the constructions per+ accusative: dar ilgai Katinas vaikštinėjo per žmones/po žmones.

Similar to English, the Source can be objectivized in Lithuanian. The sentence Jis išėjo iš kambario can be paraphrased as Jis paliko kambarį. Cf. He left the house. The same holds good for the Path and the Source:

- (39) Jis pervažiavo tiltą. Cf. Jis važiavo tiltu.
- (40) Jis pasiekė miestą temstant. Cf. Jis atvyko i miestą temstant.

It should be observed that both in English and Lithuanian the Source and the Goal are often omitted in the context: He has gone. Mary is coming. Jis išėjo. Marytė žada ateiti. He has gone can be interpreted as He has gone from here (the Source is determined by the stored information based on the situation). In Lithuanian Jis išėjo iš čia.

To sum it up, the analysis of Elative constructions in English and Lithuanian has demonstrated identical syntactic processes – the same semantic component can be subject to objectivization. Differences mostly concern the realization of the semantic components: English marks the components by prepositions accompanied by common case nouns, whereas Lithuanian marks them by prepositions accompanied by nouns used in appropriate cases. In both English and Lithuanian the Elative constructions occur in two patterns: 1) with all the components realized; 2) with the Source, the Goal or the Path elided.

REFERENCES

Akhmanova V. 1975. Approaches to Contrastive Linguistics. Moscow.

Bennett D, C. 1975. Spatial and Temporal Uses of English Prepositions. London.

Gruber J.S. 1976. Lexical Structures in Syntax and Semantics. North-Holland Publishing Company.

Langacker R. 1976. Grammar as Image. Linguistic Notes from La Jolla 6. San Diego, CA: University of California.

Talmy L. 1983. How language structures space. Spatial orientation: Theory, research, and application ed. by H. Pick and L. Acredolo, 225-82, New York: Plenum Press.

Valeika L. 1998. An Introductory Cource in Semantic Syntax, Publishing House of Vilnius University. Valiulytė E. 1998. Dabartinės lietuvių kalbos sintaksiniai sinonimai. Vilnius.

SOURCES

http://anthology.lms.lt/texts/

Marius Katiliškis Miškais ateina ruduo

Bronius Radzevičius Apyaušrio vieškeliai

Juozas Tumas-Vaižgantas Dėdės ir dėdienės

Vincas Krėvė Bedievis, Dvainiai, Išsibarė, Žmoną pamokė, Silkės, Bobulės vargai, Kūčių vakaras, Antanuko rytas, Galvažudys, Vis toji Amerika, kad ji prasmegtų..., Perkūnas, Vaiva ir straublys, Skerdžius

http://www.agoodread.com/
Jane Austen Pride and prejudice
http://www.sc.edu/fitzgerald/writings.htm
F. Scott Fitzgerald
http://www.infomotions.com/
Theodore Dreiser Sister Carrie
Ambrose Bierce Can Such Things Be

ELATYVO KONSTRUKCIJOS ANGLŲ IR LIETUVIŲ KALBOSE

Dalé Roikienė

Santrauka

Straipsnio tikslas – aprašyti vadinamąsias konkrečiąsias elatyvo konstrukcijas anglų ir lietuvių kalbose. Elatyvas apibūdinamas kaip šaltinis (Source), iš kurio objektas (Trajector) juda ar yra kilęs. Be elatyvo, kalbamąją konstrukciją sudaro kelias (Path), tikslas (Goal, Landmark). Kontekste šaltinis, tikslas ir kelias gali būti nerealizuojami. Gretinamosios kalbos pasižymi identiškais sintaksiniais procesais (abiejose kalbose semantiniai komponentai gali būti neiškiami sintaksiškai, o lietuvių kalboje sintaksiškai ir morfologiškai, t.y. prielinksniu su attinkamo linksnio forma arba tik attinkamo linksnio forma. Abiejose kalbose šios konstrukcijos gali būti pilnos ir eliptinės.

Įteikta 2003m. gegužės mėn.