Extension of the discrete universality theorem for zeta-functions of certain cusp forms*

Antanas Laurinčikas^a, Darius Šiaučiūnas^b, Adelė Vaiginytė^a

^aInstitute of Mathematics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko str. 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania antanas.laurincikas@mif.vu.lt; adele.vaiginyte@mif.vu.lt

^bResearch Institute, Šiauliai University, P. Višinskio str. 25, LT-76351 Šiauliai, Lithuania darius.siauciunas@su.lt

Received: July 27, 2018 / Revised: August 24, 2018 / Published online: October 31, 2018

Abstract. In the paper, an universality theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by generalized discrete shifts of zeta functions of Hecke-eigen cusp forms is obtained. These shifts are defined by using the function having continuous derivative satisfying certain natural growth conditions and, on positive integers, uniformly distributed modulo 1.

Keywords: Hecke-eigen cusp form, uniform distribution modulo 1, universality, zeta-function of cusp form.

1 Introduction

In [18], S.M. Voronin discovered the universality property of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s), s=\sigma+\mathrm{i}t$, on the approximation of a wide class of analytic functions by shifts $\zeta(s+\mathrm{i}\tau), \tau\in\mathbb{R}$. Later, it turned out that some other zeta and L-functions also are universal in the Voronin sense, among them, zeta-functions of certain cusp forms. We recall their definition.

Let

$$SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

be the full modular group. The function F(z) is called a holomorphic cusp form of weight κ for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ if F(z) is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Im} z>0$, for all $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a&b\\c&d\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, satisfies the functional equation

$$F\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^{\kappa}F(z),$$

^{*}The research of the first author is funded by the European Social Fund according to the activity "Improvement of researchers" qualification by implementing world-class R&D projects' of Measure No. 09.3.3-LMT-K-712-01-0037.

and, at infinity, has the Fourier series expansion

$$F(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c(m) e^{2\pi i mz}.$$

We assume additionally that the cusp form F(z) is a normalized Hecke-eigen cusp form, i.e., is an eigen form of all Hecke operators

$$T_m F(z) = m^{\kappa - 1} \sum_{\substack{a,d > 0 \ ad = m}} \frac{1}{d^{\kappa}} \sum_{b \pmod{d}} F\left(\frac{az + b}{d}\right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then it is known that the Fourier coefficients $c(m) \neq 0$. Therefore, after normalization, we can assume that c(1) = 1.

The zeta-function $\zeta(s,F)$ associated to a normalized Hecke-eigen cusp form F(z) of weight κ is defined, for $\sigma > (\kappa + 1/2$, by the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s,F) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)}{m^s}$$

and can be analytically continued to an entire function. Moreover, as the Riemann zeta-function, the function $\zeta(s,F)$, for $\sigma>(\kappa+1)/2$, has the Euler product expansion over primes

$$\zeta(s,F) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1},$$

where $\alpha(p)$ and $\beta(p)$ are conjugate complex numbers satisfying $\alpha(p) + \beta(p) = c(p)$.

The universality of $\zeta(s,F)$ was obtained in [7]. Let $D_F=\{s\in\mathbb{C}\colon \kappa/2<\sigma<(\kappa+1)/2\}$. Denote by \mathcal{K}_F the class of compact subsets of the strip D_F with connected complements and by $H_0(K)$, $K\in\mathcal{K}_F$, the class of continuous non-vanishing functions on K that are analytic in the interior of K. Let meas A stand for the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $A\subset\mathbb{R}$. Then the main theorem of [7] is of the following form.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $K \in \mathcal{K}_F$ and $f(s) \in H_0(K)$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] \colon \sup_{s \in K} \left| \zeta(s + \mathrm{i}\tau, F) - f(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Generalizations of Theorem 1 were given in [8] and [6].

The discrete version of universality for zeta-functions was proposed by A. Reich. In [16], he obtained a discrete universality theorem for Dedekind zeta-functions. In his theorem, τ takes values from the arithmetic progression $\{kh\colon k\in\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}\}$, where h>0 is a fixed number. The first discrete universality theorem for $\zeta(s,F)$ attached to a new form F(z), under a certain arithmetical hypothesis for the number h, was proved in [9]. In [10], this hypothesis was removed, and the following statement was obtained.

Theorem 2. Let #A denote the cardinality of a set A. Suppose that $K \in \mathcal{K}_F$, $f(s) \in H_0(K)$, and h > 0 is an arbitrary fixed number. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\liminf_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N+1}\#\Big\{0\leqslant k\leqslant N\colon \sup_{s\in K}\big|\zeta(s+\mathrm{i} kh,\,F)-f(s)\big|<\varepsilon\Big\}>0.$$

There exists a problem to prove analogues of Theorem 2 for the sets different from the progression $\{kh\colon k\in\mathbb{N}_0\}$. The first attempt in this direction, in the case of the Riemann zeta-function, was made in [2], where the arithmetical progression was replaced by the set $\{k^\alpha h\colon k\in\mathbb{N}_0\}$ with a fixed $\alpha,\ 0<\alpha<1$. An analogue of the theorem from [2] for the function $\zeta(s,F)$ was given in [5]. Ł. Pańkowski investigating the joint universality of Dirichlet L-functions extended [15] the theorem of [2] for all non-integers $\alpha>0$ and more general sets of the type $\{hk^\alpha\log^\beta k\}$, where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ (-\infty, 0] \cup (1, \infty) & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

The aim of this paper is to prove a discrete universality theorem for the function $\zeta(s, F)$ when τ in $\zeta(s+\mathrm{i}\tau, F)$ runs over some general sequence of real numbers.

For the definition of a class of sequences for τ , we will use the notion of uniform distribution modulo 1. Let $\{u\}$ denote the fractional part of $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and let χ_I be the indicator function of the set I. We remind that a sequence $\{x_k \colon k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called uniformly distributed modulo 1 if, for every interval $I = [a, b) \subset [0, 1)$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \chi_I(\lbrace x_k \rbrace) = b - a.$$

Let $k_0\in\mathbb{N}.$ We say that a function $\varphi\in U(k_0)$ if the following hypotheses are satisfied:

- (i) $\varphi(t)$ is a real-valued positive increasing function on $[k_0 1/2, \infty)$.
- (ii) $\varphi(t)$ has a continuous derivative $\varphi'(t)$ on $[k_0 1/2, \infty)$ satisfying the estimate

$$\varphi(2t) \max_{t \leqslant u \leqslant 2t} \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)} \ll t.$$

(iii) A sequence $\{a\varphi(k): k \geqslant k_0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with every $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

For example, the function $\varphi(t) = t \log^{\alpha} t$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ is an element of the class U(2) because the sequence $\{ak \log^{\alpha} k\}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 [3, Exercise 3.14]. On the other hand, this sequence does not belong to the set of sequences of [15].

Theorem 3. Suppose that $\varphi \in U(k_0)$. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_F$ and $f(s) \in H_0(K)$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \# \Big\{ k_0 \leqslant k \leqslant N \colon \sup_{s \in K} \big| \zeta \big(s + \mathrm{i} \varphi(k), F \big) - f(s) \big| < \varepsilon \Big\} > 0.$$

Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control, 23(6):961-973

It is known [11,12] that universality theorems have a modified form. Thus, Theorem 3 can be stated in the following form.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $\varphi \in U(k_0)$. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_F$ and $f(s) \in H_0(K)$. Then the limit

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \# \left\{ k_0 \leqslant k \leqslant N \colon \sup_{s \in K} \left| \zeta \left(s + \mathrm{i} \varphi(k), F \right) - f(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0$$

exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$.

2 Auxiliary results

For the proof of universality for the function $\zeta(s,F)$, we will use the probabilistic approach. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the Borel σ -field of the space X. Let P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and P be the probability measures on $(X,\mathcal{B}(X))$. We remind that P_n , as $n \to \infty$, converges weakly to P if, for every real continuous bounded function g on X,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X g \, \mathrm{d}P_n = \int_X g \, \mathrm{d}P.$$

Denote by $H(D_F)$ the space of analytic functions on D_F endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. The proof of universality theorems is based on the weak convergence for

$$P_{N,F}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \# \left\{ k_0 \leqslant k \leqslant N \colon \zeta \left(s + i\varphi(k), F \right) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B} \left(H(D_F) \right),$$

as $N \to \infty$.

For the statement of a limit theorem for $P_{N,F}$, we need some notation. Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all prime numbers, and let γ denote the unit circle on the complex plane. Define the set

$$\Omega = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \gamma_p,$$

where $\gamma_p = \gamma$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$. With the product topology and pointwise multiplication, the infinite-dimensional torus Ω is a compact topological Abelian group, therefore, on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$, the probability Haar measure m_H can be defined. This gives the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$. Denote by $\omega(p)$ the projection of an element $\omega \in \Omega$ to the coordinate space γ_p , $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and, on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$, define the $H(D_F)$ -valued random element $\zeta(s, \omega, F)$ by the formula

$$\zeta(s,\omega,F) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)\omega(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)\omega(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

Let $P_{\zeta,F}$ stand for the distribution of $\zeta(s,\omega,F)$, i.e.,

$$P_{\zeta,F}(A) = m_H \{ \omega \in \Omega : \zeta(s,\omega,F) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_F)).$$

Now we state the main result of this section.

Theorem 5. Suppose that $\varphi \in U(k_0)$. Then $P_{N,F}$ converges weakly to $P_{\zeta,F}$ as $N \to \infty$. Moreover, the support of $P_{\zeta,F}$ is the set $S_F = \{g \in H(D_F): g(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\}$.

We divide the proof of Theorem 5 into several lemmas. We start with the Weyl criterion.

Lemma 1. A sequence $\{x_k: k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i m x_k} = 0.$$

Proof of the lemma can be found, for example, in [3]. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, define

$$Q_N(A) = \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \# \{ k_0 \leqslant k \leqslant N \colon (p^{-i\varphi(k)}) \colon p \in \mathbb{P} \} \in A \}.$$

Lemma 2. Suppose that $\varphi \in U(k_0)$. Then Q_N converges weakly to the Haar measure m_H as $N \to \infty$.

Proof. We apply the Fourier transform method. It is well known that the dual group of Ω is isomorphic to the group

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_{p} \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$. An element $\underline{k} = \{k_p : k_p \in \mathbb{Z}, \ p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of \mathcal{D} , where only a finite number of integers k_p are distinct from zero, acts on Ω by

$$\omega \to \omega^{\underline{k}} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}' \omega^{k_p}(p),$$

where the sign " $^{\prime\prime}$ " means that only a finite number of integers k_p are distinct from zero. Hence, the characters are of the form

$$\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}}'\omega^{k_p}(p),$$

therefore, the Fourier transform $g_N(\underline{k})$ of Q_N is given by the formula

$$g_N(\underline{k}) = \int_{\Omega} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}' \omega^{k_p}(p) dQ_N.$$

Thus, by the definition of Q_N ,

$$g_N(\underline{k}) = \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}' p^{-ik_p \varphi(k)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \exp\left\{-i\varphi(k) \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}' k_p \log p\right\}. \tag{1}$$

Obviously,

$$g_N(0) = 1. (2)$$

Since the set $\{\log p\colon p\in\mathbb{P}\}$ is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , we have that $\sum_{p\in\mathbb{P}}'k_p\log p\neq 0$ for $\underline{k}\neq \underline{0}$. Therefore, since $\varphi\in U(k_0)$, in the case $\underline{k}\neq \underline{0}$, the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{\varphi(k)}{2\pi} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}' k_p \log p \colon k \geqslant k_0 \right\}$$

is uniformly distributed modulo 1. Thus, by Lemma 1 with m=-1 and (1), we find that, for $\underline{k}\neq \underline{0}$,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} g_N(\underline{k}) = 0.$$

This and (2) show that $g_N(\underline{k})$, as $N \to \infty$, converges to the Fourier transform of the Haar measure m_H , and the lemma is a consequence of a continuity theorem for probability measures on compact groups.

Lemma 2 implies a limit theorem in the space of analytic functions for a certain absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. This theorem is very important for proving Theorem 5, therefore, we give its precise statement.

We extend the functions $\omega(p)$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, to the set \mathbb{N} by

$$\omega(m) = \prod_{\substack{p^l \mid m \\ p^{l+1} \nmid m}} \omega^l(p), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\theta > 1/2$ be a fixed number. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the series

$$\zeta_n(s,F) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)v_n(m)}{m^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_n(s,\omega,F) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(m)\omega(m)v_n(m)}{m^s},$$

where

$$v_n(m) = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\theta}\right\}.$$

Then, the latter series are absolutely convergent for $\sigma > \kappa/2$. Let the function $u_{n,F} \colon \Omega \to H(D_F)$ be given by the formula $u_{n,F}(\omega) = \zeta_n(s,\omega,F)$. Since the series for $\zeta_n(s,\omega,F)$ is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > \kappa/2$, the function $u_{n,F}$ is continuous, thus, it is $(\mathcal{B}(\Omega), \mathcal{B}(H(D_F)))$ -measurable. Hence, $\widehat{P}_{n,F} = m_H u_{n,F}^{-1}$, where

$$\widehat{P}_{n,F}(A) = m_H u_{n,F}^{-1}(A) = m_H (u_{n,F}^{-1} A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_F)),$$

is a probability measure on $(H(D_F), \mathcal{B}(H(D_F)))$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_F))$, define

$$P_{N,n,F}(A) = \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \# \{ k_0 \leqslant k \leqslant N \colon \zeta_n (s + i\varphi(k), F) \in A \}.$$

The above remarks, Lemma 2, and Theorem 5.1 of [1] lead to

Lemma 3. Suppose that $\varphi \in U(k_0)$. Then $P_{N,n,F}$ converges weakly to $\widehat{P}_{n,F}$ as $N \to \infty$.

Our next aim is to prove that $P_{N,F}$, as $N \to \infty$, converges weakly to the limit measure P_F of $\widehat{P}_{n,F}$ as $n \to \infty$. For this, we need some mean square results for the function $\zeta(s,F)$.

Lemma 4. Suppose that $\varphi \in U(k_0)$, and σ , $\kappa/2 < \sigma < (\kappa+1)/2$, is fixed. Then, for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\cos(-1/2)}^{T} \left| \zeta \left(\sigma + i\tau + i\varphi(t), F \right) \right|^{2} dt \ll T \left(1 + |\tau| \right).$$

Proof. It is well known that, for fixed σ , $\kappa/2 < \sigma < (\kappa + 1)/2$,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| \zeta(\sigma + it, F) \right|^{2} dt \ll T.$$
(3)

Let X>1. Since the function $\varphi(t)$ is increasing and continuously differentiable, we have that

$$\int_{X}^{2X} \left| \zeta \left(\sigma + i\tau + i\varphi(t), F \right) \right|^{2} dt$$

$$= \int_{X}^{2X} \frac{1}{\varphi'(t)} \left| \zeta \left(\sigma + i\tau + i\varphi(t), F \right) \right|^{2} d(\varphi(t))$$

$$\ll \max_{X \leqslant t \leqslant 2X} \frac{1}{\varphi'(t)} \int_{Y}^{2X} d\left(\int_{0}^{|\tau| + \varphi(t)} \left| \zeta(\sigma + iu, F) \right|^{2} du \right). \tag{4}$$

By estimate (3),

$$\int_{0}^{|\tau|+\varphi(t)} \left| \zeta(\sigma + iu, F) \right|^{2} du \ll |\tau| + \varphi(t).$$

Since $\varphi \in U(k_0)$, the latter estimate together with (4) shows that

$$\int_{X}^{2X} |\zeta(\sigma + i\tau + i\varphi(t), F)|^{2} dt \ll (|\tau| + \varphi(2X)) \max_{X \leqslant t \leqslant 2X} \frac{1}{\varphi'(t)}$$

$$\ll X(1 + |\tau|).$$

Now, taking $X = 2^{-k-1}T$ and summing over k, gives the lemma.

Lemma 4 together with Gallagher's lemma, which connects the continuous and discrete mean squares of some functions, allows to estimate the discrete mean square

$$I_N(\sigma, t, F) = \sum_{k=k_0}^{N} |\zeta(\sigma + it + i\varphi(k), F)|^2.$$

For convenience, we state Gallagher's lemma, see [14, Lemma 1.4].

Lemma 5. Suppose that T_0 , $T \ge \delta > 0$ are real numbers, and $T \ne \emptyset$ is a finite set in the interval $[T_0 + \delta/2, T_0 + T - \delta/2]$. Define

$$N_{\delta}(x) = \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{T} \\ |t-x| < \delta}} 1.$$

Let S(x) be a complex-valued continuous function on $[T_0, T + T_0]$ having a continuous derivative on $(T_0, T + T_0)$. Then

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} N_{\delta}^{-1}(t) \big| S(t) \big|^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{T_0}^{T_0 + T} \big| S(x) \big|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \left(\int_{T_0}^{T_0 + T} \big| S(x) \big|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \int_{T_0}^{T_0 + T} \big| S'(x) \big|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 6. Suppose that $\varphi \in U(k_0)$, and σ , $\kappa/2 < \sigma < (\kappa + 1)/2$, is fixed. Then, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$I_N(\sigma, t, F) \ll N(1+|t|).$$

Proof. An application of the Cauchy integral formula and Lemma 4 gives, for $\kappa/2 < \sigma < (\kappa+1)/2$, the bound

$$\int_{k_0-1/2}^{N+1/2} \left| \zeta' \left(\sigma + it + i\varphi(t), F \right) \right|^2 dt \ll N \left(1 + |t| \right). \tag{5}$$

Actually, in view of the Cauchy integral formula,

$$\zeta'(\sigma + it + i\varphi(\tau), F) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\zeta(z + it + i\varphi(\tau), F)}{(z - \sigma)^2} dz,$$

where L is the circle with a center σ lying in D. Then

$$\left| \zeta' \left(\sigma + it + i\varphi(\tau), F \right) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left| \int_L \frac{\zeta(z + it + i\varphi(\tau), F)}{(z - \sigma)^2} dz \right|^2$$

$$\ll \int_L \frac{|dz|}{|z - \sigma|^4} \int_L \left| \zeta(z + it + i\varphi(\tau), F) \right|^2 |dz|$$

$$\ll \int_L \left| \zeta(z + it + i\varphi(\tau), F) \right|^2 |dz|.$$

Hence, in view of Lemma 4,

$$\int_{k_0-1/2}^{N+1/2} \left| \zeta' \left(\sigma + it + i\varphi(\tau), F \right) \right|^2 d\tau$$

$$\ll \int_{L} \left| dz \right| \int_{k_0-1/2}^{N+1/2} \left| \zeta \left(\operatorname{Re} z + i \operatorname{Im} z + it + i\varphi(\tau), F \right) \right|^2 d\tau$$

$$\ll N(1 + |t|).$$

We apply Lemma 5 with $\mathcal{T}=\{k:\ k\in\mathbb{N},\ k_0\leqslant k\leqslant N\},\ T_0=k_0-1/2,\ T=N-k_0+1,\ \text{and}\ \delta=1.$ Then, clearly, $N_\delta(x)=1,\ \text{and},\ \text{in view of Lemma 5}$ with $S(\tau)=\zeta(\sigma+\mathrm{i} t+\mathrm{i} \varphi(\tau),\ F),$ we have

$$I_{N}(\sigma, t, F) \ll \int_{k_{0}-1/2}^{N+1/2} |\zeta(\sigma + it + i\varphi(\tau), F)|^{2} d\tau + \left(\int_{k_{0}-1/2}^{N+1/2} |\zeta(\sigma + it + i\varphi(\tau), F)|^{2} d\tau \int_{k_{0}-1/2}^{N+1/2} |\zeta'(\sigma + it + i\varphi(\tau), F)|^{2} d\tau\right)^{1/2}.$$

This, Lemma 4, and estimate (5) prove the lemma.

Now we are ready to approximate $\zeta(s,F)$ by $\zeta_n(s,F)$ in the mean. For $g_1,g_2\in H(D_F)$, let

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \frac{\sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|}{1 + \sup_{s \in K_l} |g_1(s) - g_2(s)|},$$

where $\{K_l: l \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset D_F$ is a sequence of compact subsets such that

$$D_F = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l,$$

 $K_l \subset K_{l+1}$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and if $K \subset D_F$ is a compact subset, then $K \subset K_l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then ρ is the metric in $H(D_F)$ inducing its topology of uniform convergence on compacta.

Lemma 7. Suppose that $\varphi \in U(k_0)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \rho(\zeta(s + i\varphi(k), F), \zeta_n(s + i\varphi(k), F)) = 0.$$

Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control, 23(6):961-973

Proof. Let $\theta > 1/2$ be from the definition of $v_n(m)$, and

$$l_n(s) = \frac{s}{\theta} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) n^s,$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Euler gamma-function. Then the function $\zeta_n(s,F)$ has the representation [7]

$$\zeta_n(s,F) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\theta - i\infty}^{\theta + i\infty} \zeta(s+z, F) l_n(z) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z}, \quad \sigma > \frac{\kappa}{2}.$$

Let K be an arbitrary compact subset of D. Then, using the above integral representation and the residue theorem, we find that

$$\frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \sup_{s \in K} \left| \zeta(s + i\varphi(k), F) - \zeta_n(s + i\varphi(k), F) \right| \\
\ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| l_n(\widehat{\sigma} + i\tau) \right| \left(\frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \sum_{k=k_0}^{N} \left| \zeta(\sigma + it + i\tau + i\varphi(k), F) \right| \right) d\tau, \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{\sigma} < 0$, $\kappa/2 < \sigma < (\kappa + 1)/2$, and t is bounded by a constant depending on K. Now an application of Lemma 6 and (6) implies the equality

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N-k_0+1} \sum_{k=k_0}^N \sup_{s\in K} \left| \zeta \left(s + \mathrm{i} \varphi(k) \right) - \zeta_n \left(s + \mathrm{i} \varphi(k) \right) \right| = 0.$$

This and the definition of the metric ρ prove the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let θ_N be a random variable defined on a certain probability space with the measure μ and having the distribution

$$\mu\{\theta_N = \varphi(k)\} = \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1}, \quad k = k_0, \dots, N.$$

Consider the $H(D_F)$ -valued random element

$$X_{N,n,F} = X_{N,n,F}(s) = \zeta_n(s + i\theta_N, F).$$

We recall that $\widehat{P}_{n,F}$ is the limit measure in Lemma 3. Then, in view of Lemma 3,

$$X_{N,n,F} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \widehat{X}_{n,F},$$
 (7)

where $\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\to}$ means the convergence in distribution, and $\widehat{X}_{n,F}$ is the $H(D_F)$ -valued random element with distribution $\widehat{P}_{n,F}$. Using the absolute convergence of the series for $\zeta_n(s,F)$ and (7), we prove by using the method of [4] that the family of probability measures

 $\{\widehat{P}_{n,F}\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is tight. Hence, by Theorem 6.1 of [1], it is relatively compact. Therefore, each subsequence of $\{\widehat{P}_{n,F}\}$ contains a subsequence $\{\widehat{P}_{n_r,F}\}$, which converges weakly to a certain probability measure P_F on $(H(D_F),\mathcal{B}(H(D_F)))$ as $r\to\infty$. Thus

$$\widehat{X}_{n_r,F} \xrightarrow[r \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_F. \tag{8}$$

On the probability space of the random variable θ_N , define the $H(D_F)$ -valued random element

$$X_{N,F} = X_{N,F}(s) = \zeta(s + i\theta_N, F).$$

Then the application of Lemma 7 shows that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mu \Big(\rho(X_{N,F}, X_{N,n,F}) \geqslant \varepsilon \Big) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \\ &\qquad \times \# \Big\{ k_0 \leqslant k \leqslant N \colon \rho \big(\zeta \big(s + \mathrm{i} \varphi(k), \, F \big), \zeta_n \big(s + \mathrm{i} \varphi(k), \, F \big) \big) \geqslant \varepsilon \Big\} \\ &\leqslant \lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{(N - k_0 + 1)\varepsilon} \sum_{k = k_0}^N \rho \big(\zeta \big(s + \mathrm{i} \varphi(k), \, F \big), \zeta_n \big(s + \mathrm{i} \varphi(k), \, F \big) \big) = 0. \end{split}$$

From this, (7), (8), and Theorem 4.2 of [1] it follows that

$$X_{N,F} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_F.$$
 (9)

This means that $P_{N,F}$ converges weakly to P_F as $N \to \infty$. On the other hand, (9) shows that the measure P_F is independent of the sequence $\{\widehat{P}_{n_r,F}\}$. Since the family $\{\widehat{P}_{n,F}\}$ is relatively compact, hence we have, by Theorem 2.3 of [1], that

$$\widehat{X}_{n,F} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_F,$$

or equivalently, $\widehat{P}_{n,F}$ converges weakly to P_F as $n \to \infty$.

It remains to identity the measure P_F . For this, usually, elements of the ergodic theory are applied. However, we use a very simple observation. It is known [7, 17] that

$$\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \zeta(s + i\tau, F) \in A \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_F)),$$

as $T \to \infty$, converges weakly to the limit measure P_F of $\widehat{P}_{n,F}$ and that $P_F = P_{\zeta,F}$. Moreover, the support of $P_{\zeta,F}$ is the set S_F . Therefore, $P_{N,F}$ also converges weakly to $P_{\zeta,F}$ as $N \to \infty$.

3 Proofs of universality theorems

Proof of Theorem 3. Define

$$G_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} \left| g(s) - e^{p(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\},$$

Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control, 23(6):961-973

where p(s) is a polynomial. By Theorem 5, the function $e^{p(s)}$ is an element of the support of the measure $P_{\zeta,F}$. Therefore,

$$P_{\zeta,F}(G_{\varepsilon}) > 0. \tag{10}$$

By Theorem 5 and the equivalent of weak convergence of probability measures in terms of open sets [1, Thm. 2.1],

$$\liminf_{N\to\infty} P_{N,F}(G_{\varepsilon}) \geqslant P_{\zeta,F}(G_{\varepsilon}).$$

This, the definitions of $P_{N,F}$ and G_{ε} , and (10) show that

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N - k_0 + 1} \# \left\{ k_0 \leqslant k \leqslant N : \sup_{s \in K} \left| \zeta \left(s + i\varphi(k), F \right) - f(s) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} > 0.$$
(11)

By the Mergelyan theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by polynomials [13], we can choose the polynomial p(s) to satisfy the inequality

$$\sup_{s \in K} \left| f(s) - e^{p(s)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{12}$$

This inequality together with (11) proves Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 4. Define the set

$$\widehat{G}_{\varepsilon} = \Big\{ g \in H(D) \colon \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \Big\}.$$

Then we have that the boundary $\partial \widehat{G}_{\varepsilon}$ of $\widehat{G}_{\varepsilon}$ is the set

$$\Big\{g\in H(D)\colon \sup_{s\in K} \big|g(s)-f(s)\big|=\varepsilon\Big\}.$$

Hence, $\partial \widehat{G}_{\varepsilon_1} \cap \partial \widehat{G}_{\varepsilon_2} = \emptyset$ for $\varepsilon_1 \neq \varepsilon_2$. Therefore, the set $\widehat{G}_{\varepsilon}$ is a continuity set of the measure $P_{\zeta,F}$ for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. Using Theorem 5 and the equivalent of weak convergence of probability measures in terms of continuity sets [1, Thm. 2.1], we obtain that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} P_{N,F}(\widehat{G}_{\varepsilon}) = P_{\zeta,F}(\widehat{G}_{\varepsilon}) \tag{13}$$

for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon>0$. In view of (12), if $g\in G_{\varepsilon}$, then $g\in \widehat{G}_{\varepsilon}$. Thus, $G_{\varepsilon}\subset \widehat{G}_{\varepsilon}$. Therefore, in virtue of (10), $P_{\zeta,F}(\widehat{G}_{\varepsilon})>0$. Combining this with (13) and the definitions of $P_{N,F}$ and $\widehat{G}_{\varepsilon}$ proves Theorem 4.

References

- 1. P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.
- 2. A. Dubickas, A. Laurinčikas, Distribution modulo 1 and the discrete universality of the Riemann zeta-function, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.*, **86**:79–87, 2016.
- L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter, Uniform Distribution of Sequences, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1974.
- 4. A. Laurinčikas, Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1996.
- 5. A. Laurinčikas, Uniform distribution modulo 1 and the universality of zeta-functions of certain cusp forms, *Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér.*, **100**(114):131–140, 2016.
- A. Laurinčikas, R. Macaitienė, On the universality of zeta-functions of certain cusp forms, in A. Laurinčikas, E. Manstavičius, G. Stepanauskas (Eds.), *Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory*, TEV, Vilnius, 2012, pp. 273–283.
- A. Laurinčikas, K. Matsumoto, The universality of zeta-functions attached to certain cusp forms, Acta Arith., 98:345–359, 2001.
- A. Laurinčikas, K. Matsumoto, J. Steuding, The universality of L-functions associated with new forms, Izv. Math., 67:77–90, 2003.
- A. Laurinčikas, K. Matsumoto, J. Steuding, Discrete universality of L-functions for new forms, Math. Notes, 78:551–558, 2005.
- A. Laurinčikas, K. Matsumoto, J. Steuding, Discrete universality of L-functions of new forms. II, Lith. Math. J., 56:207–218, 2016.
- A. Laurinčikas, L. Meška, Sharpening of the universality inequality, *Math. Notes*, 96:971–976, 2014
- 12. A. Laurinčikas, L. Meška, On the modification of the universality of the Hurwitz zeta-function, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **21**:564–576, 2016.
- 13. S.N. Mergelyan, Uniform approximations to functions of a complex variable, *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, 7(2):31–122, 1952 (in Russian).
- 14. H.L. Montgomery, *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*, Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 227, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
- 15. Ł. Pańkowski, Joint universality for dependent L-functions, Ramanujan J., 45:181–195, 2018.
- 16. A. Reich, Werteverteilung von Zetafunktionen, Arch. Math., 34:440–451, 1980.
- J. Steuding, Value-Distribution of L-Functions, Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 1877, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- 18. S.M. Voronin, Theorem on the "universality" of the Riemann zeta-function, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **39**:475–486, 1975 (in Russian).