

## On the existence of solutions to the fractional derivative equations $\frac{d^\alpha u}{dt^\alpha} + Au = f$ , of relevance to diffusion in complex systems\*

Arnaud Heibig, Liviu Iulian Palade<sup>1</sup>

Université de Lyon, CNRS, INSA-Lyon  
Institut Camille Jordan UMR5208 & Pôle de Mathématiques  
Bât. Léonard de Vinci no. 401, 21 avenue Jean Capelle  
F-69621, Villeurbanne, France  
arnaud.heibig@insa-lyon.fr; liviu-iulian.palade@insa-lyon.fr

**Received:** 13 September, 2011 / **Revised:** 26 March 2012 / **Published online:** 21 May 2012

**Abstract.** Fractional derivative equations account for relaxation and diffusion processes in a large variety of condensed matter systems. For instance, diffusion of position probability density displayed by a random walker in complex systems – such as glassy materials – is often modeled by fractional derivative partial differential equations (e.g. [1]). This paper deals with the existence of solutions to the general fractional derivative equation  $\frac{d^\alpha u}{dt^\alpha} + Au = f$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , with  $A$  a self-adjoint operator. The results are proved using the von Neumann–Dixmier theorem [2].

**Keywords:** diffusion in complex systems, fractional derivative evolution equations, separation of variables method, Caputo derivative, integral equations, self-adjoint operators, von Neumann–Dixmier theorem.

### 1 Introduction

Fractional derivative models and equations are widely used in all science domains, as can be reckoned from [3–7] and the wealth of references cited therein. In particular, in the area of viscoelasticity (for a general presentation of which we refer to e.g. [8–11]), fractional derivative models are of great utility in accurately predicting the rheological behavior of polymer liquids in the glass transition region and beyond (e.g. see [12–21]; for a review of fractional derivative rheological models see [22]), in interpreting experimental measurements of anomalous diffusion processes in glassy materials [1, 23] etc.

Diffusion phenomena in complex systems – a category which includes organic and inorganic glassy materials – are often associated with slower time rates (e.g. monomer diffusion in glassy polymers). When this is the case, it is now routinely to have the ordinary time derivative replaced by a fractional derivative of order  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

\*Dedicated to Professor Kumbakonam R. Rajagopal, Texas A&M University, College Station, on the occasion of his 60th anniversary.

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

In this paper we study the following problem: find  $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto D(A)$  such that

$$\frac{d^\alpha u}{dt^\alpha} + Au = f, \quad u(0) = u_0. \quad (1)$$

Here  $A$  is a coercive self-adjoint operator with domain  $D(A)$ , which models – among others – diffusion processes in fluids and solids. A typical example is that of a second order strongly elliptic partial derivative operator, i.e.

$$A := - \sum_{i,j}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) + c(x) \text{Id}$$

with  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega} \Subset \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $c \geq 0$ , and for any  $x \in \Omega$  and for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \beta |\xi|^2, \quad \beta > 0.$$

In the above  $|\xi|$  stands for the Euclidean norm of  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ .

Equations (1) have been extensively studied by Bazhlekova [24] by means of Da Prato–Iannelli’s theorem [25] and very general results within the framework of  $L^p$  spaces can be found in [24]. For existence results in the case of systems of equations that generalize (1) see [26]. On the other hand, for some peculiar operators, explicit calculations can be carried out (see e.g. [27–30]; for a review on recent results on fractional boundary value problems see [31]).

In this paper, we restrict to the case of self-adjoint operators and prove that the result obtained by the method of separation of variables converges in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, D(A^\theta))$ . This is achieved using the von Neumann–Dixmier’s spectral theorem.

## 2 Functional framework

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space. Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint operator the domain  $D(A) \subset H$  of which is such that:

- (i)  $D(A)$  is dense in  $H$ ;
- (ii)  $\exists c > 0$  s.t. for  $\forall u \in D(A)$ ,  $(Au|u) \geq c\|u\|^2$ .

Using the von Neumann–Dixmier’s theorem [2] one has:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  be compliant with conditions (i) and (ii) right above. Then there exist a Hilbert integral  $\mathcal{H} = \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda_0 \in ]0, c[$  and  $\mu$  is a positive, bounded Radon measure, and a surjective unitary operator  $\mathcal{U} : H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , such that:*

1.  $\mathcal{U}(D(A)) = \{f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t. } \lambda f \in \mathcal{H}\}$ ;
2. For any  $y \in D(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}(Ay) = \lambda \mathcal{U}(y)$ .

In many specific cases one may wish to work with non-canonical variants of Theorem 1. For instance, for  $A = -\Delta + \text{Id}$ ,  $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , one may prefer, instead of part (i) of Theorem 1, the following description (here  $\widehat{\cdot}$  denotes the Fourier transform):

$$\widehat{D(-\Delta + \text{Id})} = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n) \text{ s.t. } (|\xi|^2 + 1)f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n)\}.$$

Nevertheless, in order to get a unified treatment of the different cases (including e.g. the above given operator or that of a self-adjoint compact operator) we have to use Theorem 1. It allows working with a single eigenequation  $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} Z + \lambda Z = g$  (see Propositions 1 and 3) and a fixed functional frame. Of course the convergence result obtained in Theorem 2 below can in the end be stated in more specific (albeit non-canonical) forms.

For sake of clarity we now pause for a few notation explanations and remainders regarding the spaces  $D_\theta$  used in this paper. These interpolation spaces are very similar to the usual fractional spaces  $H^s(\Omega)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the following,  $(|\cdot\rangle)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}$  denotes the inner product in  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}$  the corresponding norm.

(a) We denote by  $\widehat{\cdot}$  the operator  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  satisfy (i) and (ii) above.

Denote by  $D_\theta$ ,  $\theta \geq 0$ , the space  $D(A^\theta) := \{f \in H \mid \lambda^\theta \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{H}, \theta \geq 0\}$ . The space  $D_\theta$  is endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{D_\theta}^2 := \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2\theta} \|\widehat{f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda)$$

for any  $f \in D_\theta$ . For any  $\theta \geq \theta' \geq 0$ , the continuous inclusions  $D_\theta \hookrightarrow D_{\theta'} \hookrightarrow D_0 = H$  hold true. Likewise, for the topological dual spaces,  $H' \hookrightarrow (D_{\theta'})' \hookrightarrow (D_\theta)'$ . With the help of the inner product  $(|\cdot\rangle)_H$  we have an isomorphism  $i : H \rightarrow H'$ . Henceforth  $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}^{-1}} H \xrightarrow{\sim} H' \hookrightarrow (D_\theta)'$ .

(b) We now define the Banach spaces  $D_{-\theta}$  for  $\theta \geq 0$ . For  $\theta \geq 0$ , set  $D_{-\theta} = (D_\theta)'$ .

For any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $F_\theta$  denote the space of measurable vector fields  $f$  s.t.  $\lambda^\theta f \in \mathcal{H}$ . The space  $F_\theta$  is endowed with the inner product

$$(f|g)_{F_\theta} := \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2\theta} (f(\lambda)|g(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda) \quad \forall (f, g) \in F_\theta \times F_\theta \tag{2}$$

and the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|_{F_\theta}$ . For any  $\theta \geq 0$ , one has  $F_{-\theta} \xrightarrow[\rho]{\sim} (F_\theta)'$ , where  $\rho$  is defined by

$$\langle \rho(\varphi), \psi \rangle = \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (\varphi(\lambda)|\psi(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda) \quad \forall \varphi \in F_{-\theta}, \forall \psi \in F_\theta.$$

Since  $F_\theta \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathcal{U}^{-1}|_{F_\theta}} D_\theta$ , one also has  $F_{-\theta} \xrightarrow[\sim]{G} (D_\theta)'$ , with  $G$  being given by

$$\langle G(f), g \rangle = \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (f(\lambda) | \hat{g}(\lambda) )_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda) \quad \forall f \in F_{-\theta}, \forall g \in F_\theta.$$

In what follows, for  $f \in F_{-\theta}$ ,  $\theta \geq 0$ , and  $h = G(f)$  we (abusively) write  $\hat{h} = f$ . For  $\theta > 0$ , the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{D_{-\theta}}$  is defined by (see also Eq. (2))

$$\|h\|_{D_{-\theta}}^2 := \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{-2\theta} \|\hat{h}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) \quad \forall h \in D_{-\theta}.$$

The spaces  $D_\theta$  and  $F_\theta$  are complete for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The operator  $A$  is extended to  $D_\theta \rightarrow D_{\theta-1}$  ( $\theta < 1$ ) in the following way: for any  $u \in D_\theta$ ,  $\widehat{Au} = \lambda \hat{u}$ .

(c) We introduce (see below) a function  $E$ . This kernel  $E$  will allow us to solve the equation

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha \hat{u}}{\partial t^\alpha} + \widehat{Au} = \hat{f}, \quad \hat{u}(0) = 0,$$

where the fractional derivative is formally defined by (see Caputo's definition of it in [32, 33]):

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha h}{\partial t^\alpha}(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{h'(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\alpha} d\tau.$$

For any  $\lambda > 0$ , let the functions  $E$  and  $W$  be given, for any  $t > 0$ , by:

$$E(\lambda, t) = \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r^\alpha e^{-rt}}{|r^\alpha e^{i\alpha\pi} + \lambda|^2} dr,$$

$$W(\lambda, t) = \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda r^{\alpha-1} e^{-rt}}{|r^\alpha e^{i\alpha\pi} + \lambda|^2} dr.$$

The functions  $E(\lambda, \cdot)$  and  $W(\lambda, \cdot)$  are causal functions w.r.t. the variable  $t$ , like all  $t$ -depending functions considered in this paper.

**Proposition 1.** (See [34].) *Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T])$ ,  $T > 0$ . Then, for any  $t \in [0, T]$ , the function  $u_\lambda = E(\lambda) * g$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ) solves*

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{u'_\lambda(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds = -\lambda u_\lambda(t) + g(t), \quad u_\lambda(0) = 0.$$

The derivative  $u'_\lambda$  is understood in the classical sense.

(d) Finally, for future reference, notice the following estimate:

**Proposition 2.** For any  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |E(\lambda, t)| dt \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(\lambda, t) = -\lambda|E(\lambda, t)|$ , it implies that, for any  $T > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^T |E(\lambda, t)| dt = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^T \frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(\lambda, t) dt = \frac{W(\lambda, 0) - W(\lambda, T)}{\lambda} \leq \frac{W(\lambda, 0)}{\lambda}.$$

Since  $W(1, 0) = 1$  (see [34]), one gets  $W(\lambda, 0) = 1$  as well. Hence  $\int_0^T |E(\lambda, t)| dt \leq 1/\lambda$ .  $\square$

### 3 Existence of solutions

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $A : D(A) \mapsto H$  be a self-adjoint operator satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii). Let  $f \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Our goal is to prove an existence result for the equations

$$\frac{d^\alpha u}{dt^\alpha} + Au = f, \quad u(0) = u_0. \tag{3}$$

In the above equation,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow D_{\theta_1}$ ,  $u_0 \in D_{\theta_2}$ ,  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , are given functions. We search for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and functions  $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow D_\theta$ .

We first restrict to  $u_0 = 0$  as the case  $u_0 \neq 0$  can be reduced to

$$\frac{d^\alpha v}{dt^\alpha} + Av = f - Au_0, \quad v(0) = 0.$$

Since the system of equations (3) with  $u_0 = 0$  is formally equivalent to the below system

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha \hat{u}}{\partial t^\alpha}(\lambda, t) + \lambda \hat{u}(\lambda, t) = \hat{f}(\lambda, t), \quad \hat{u}(\lambda, 0) = 0.$$

We prove the existence in  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_{\theta+1})$  of the function  $u$  formally defined by  $\hat{u}(\lambda) = E(\lambda) * \hat{f}(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  (see Proposition 1).

**Proposition 3.** Assume  $f \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a function  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_{\theta+1})$  such that  $\hat{u}(\lambda) = E(\lambda) * \hat{f}(\lambda)$ , ( $\mu$  a.e. in  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ). Moreover, for any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{D_{\theta+1}}^2 ds \leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{D_\theta}^2(s) ds.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \geq 0$ . One has:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \left( \lambda^{2(\theta+1)} \int_0^t \|E(\lambda) * \hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2(s) \, ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \leq \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1)} \left( \int_0^t \|E(\lambda) * \hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2(s) \, ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \leq \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1)} \left[ \int_0^t (E(\lambda) * \|\hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2(s) \, ds) \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\
& \leq \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1)} \left[ \left( \int_0^t E(\lambda, s) \, ds \right)^2 \left( \int_0^t \|\hat{f}(\lambda, s)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 \, ds \right) \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\
& = \int_0^t \|f\|_{D_\theta}^2(s) \, ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 2.

The existence of a function  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, D_{\theta+1})$  such that  $\hat{u}(\lambda) = E(\lambda) * \hat{f}(\lambda)$ , ( $\mu$  a.e. in  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ) follows from the above inequality. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{D_{\theta+1}}^2 \, ds &= \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \left( \lambda^{2(\theta+1)} \int_0^t \|E(\lambda) * \hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2(s) \, ds \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|f\|_{D_\theta}^2(s) \, ds. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that the function  $u$  given in Proposition 3 satisfies Eqs. (1). This will be a consequence of the eigenequations solved by  $\hat{u}$ . Before undertaking this, we need several preliminary results.

We first focus on expressing  $\widehat{u}'$  in terms of functions  $E$  and  $f$ . The expression given in Proposition 4 below is the result obtained by formally differentiating formula  $\hat{u}(\lambda) = E(\lambda) * \hat{f}(\lambda)$ . Proposition 4 also contains our smoothness results for the function  $u$ .

Let  $g$  be formally defined by

$$\hat{g}(\lambda, t) = E(\lambda, t)\hat{f}(\lambda, t) + [E(\lambda) * \widehat{f}'(\lambda)](t).$$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$ . Then, for any  $\epsilon \in ]0, 1[$  and  $r \in [1, 2] \cap [1, \frac{1}{1-\epsilon\alpha}[$ ,

$$\widehat{u}'(\lambda) = E(\lambda)\hat{f}(\lambda, 0) + E(\lambda) * \widehat{f}'(\lambda),$$

$\mu$  a.e. in  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Moreover,  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_{\theta+1-\epsilon})$ .

*Proof.* As  $W_{loc}^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta) \hookrightarrow W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$  for  $r > 1$ , we shall consider only the case  $r > 1$ . For any  $T \geq 0$ , one has

$$\int_0^T \left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} - g(t) \right\|_{D_{\theta+1-\epsilon}}^r dt$$

$$= \int_0^T \left[ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{\hat{u}(t+h, \lambda) - \hat{u}(t, \lambda)}{h} - \hat{g}(t, \lambda) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} d\mu(\lambda) \right]^{r/2} dt := I_h.$$

Let us prove that  $I_h \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0$ . One has  $I_h \leq M(J_h + K_h)$ ,  $M > 0$ , where

$$J_h = \int_0^T \left[ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} \left\| \int_t^{t+h} E(\lambda, u) \hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) \frac{du}{h} - E(\lambda, t) \hat{f}(\lambda, 0) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) \right]^{r/2} dt,$$

$$K_h = \int_0^T \left\{ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \int_0^t E(\lambda, u) \left[ \frac{\hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) - \hat{f}(\lambda, t-u)}{h} - \hat{f}'(t-u) \right] du \right\}_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 \times \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} d\mu(\lambda) \Bigg\}^{r/2} dt.$$

Observe now that

$$J_h \leq \frac{c}{|h|} \int_0^T \left[ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} \left( \int_t^{t+h} |E(\lambda, u) - E(\lambda, t)| \times \|\hat{f}(\lambda, 0)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 du \right)^2 d\mu(\lambda) \right]^{r/2} dt$$

$$+ \frac{c}{|h|} \int_0^T \left[ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} \left( \int_t^{t+h} |E(\lambda, u)| \|\hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) - \hat{f}(\lambda, 0)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} du \right)^2 d\mu(\lambda) \right]^{r/2} dt$$

$$\equiv A_h + B_h.$$

We now estimate  $A_h$  and  $B_h$ . On the one hand, for  $A_h$  one has

$$\int_t^{t+h} |E(\lambda, u) - E(\lambda, t)| du = \int_t^{t+h} [E(\lambda, t) - E(\lambda, u)] du$$

as  $t \leq u$ . Notice that  $|r^\alpha e^{i\alpha\pi} + \lambda|^2 \geq Kr^{2q\alpha} \lambda^{2(1-q)}$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq 1$ . Let  $q = \frac{1+\epsilon}{2}$ . Since  $e^{-rt} - e^{-ru} \geq 0$  for  $t \leq u$ , one gets, for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq E(\lambda, t) - E(\lambda, u) &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{Kr^\alpha (e^{-rt} - e^{-ru})}{r^{(1+\epsilon)\alpha} \lambda^{1-\epsilon}} dr \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\xi}}{\xi^{\alpha\epsilon}} d\xi \right) \left( \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{u^{1-\alpha\epsilon}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_t^{t+h} |E(\lambda, u) - E(\lambda, t)| du \leq \frac{M}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \left( \frac{h}{t^{1-\alpha\epsilon}} - \frac{(t+h)^{\alpha\epsilon} - t^{\alpha\epsilon}}{\alpha\epsilon} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_h &\leq \frac{M}{|h|} \left( \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2\theta} \|\hat{f}(\lambda, 0)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) \right)^{r/2} \int_0^T \left( \frac{h}{t^{1-\alpha\epsilon}} - \frac{(t+h)^{\alpha\epsilon} - t^{\alpha\epsilon}}{\alpha\epsilon} \right)^r dt \\ &\leq \frac{M}{|h|} \|f(0)\|_{D_\theta}^r K_T \int_0^T \frac{|h|^r}{t^{(1-\alpha\epsilon)r}} dt, \quad (1-\alpha\epsilon)r < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, provided that  $1 < r < \frac{1}{1-\alpha\epsilon}$ , one has  $A_h \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0$ .

On the other hand now, using  $|E(\lambda, u)| \leq \frac{K}{|\lambda|^{1-\epsilon} u^{1-\alpha\epsilon}}$  (and letting  $u \rightarrow +\infty$  in (4)), one has for  $B_h$  the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} B_h &\leq c \int_0^T \left[ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2\theta} \left( \int_t^{t+h} \frac{\|\hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) - \hat{f}(\lambda, 0)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2}{u^{1-\alpha\epsilon}} du \right)^2 d\mu(\lambda) \right]^{r/2} \frac{dt}{|h|} \\ &\leq c \int_0^T \left[ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2\theta} |h|}{t^{2(1-\alpha\epsilon)}} \left( \int_t^{t+h} \|\hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) - \hat{f}(\lambda, 0)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 du \right) d\mu(\lambda) \right]^{r/2} \frac{dt}{|h|} \\ &\leq c \int_0^T \frac{1}{t^{r(1-\alpha\epsilon)}} \left( \int_{|u-t| \leq h} \|f(t+h-u) - f(0)\|_{D_\theta}^2 \frac{du}{|h|} \right)^{r/2} dt |h|^{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $f \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$ , and that  $f$  is continuous; hence, for  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\int_{|u-t| \leq h} \|f(t+h-u) - f(0)\|_{D_\theta}^2 \frac{du}{|h|} \rightarrow 0.$$

This gives  $B_h \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0$ .

We now proceed to obtaining estimates for  $K_h$  for  $r = 2$ . Given that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_0^T \left\{ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} \left\| \int_0^t E(\lambda, u) \left[ \frac{\hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) - \hat{f}(\lambda, t-u)}{h} \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. \left. \left. - \widehat{f}'(\lambda, t-u) \right] du \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) \right\}^{r/2} dt \right\}^2 \\ & \leq \int_0^T \left\{ \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} T \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} \left\| \int_0^t E(\lambda, u) \left[ \frac{\hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) - \hat{f}(\lambda, t-u)}{h} \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. \left. \left. - \widehat{f}'(\lambda, t-u) \right] du \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 d\mu(\lambda) \right\}^{r/2} dt \\ & \leq T \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} \left\{ \int_0^T \left( \int_0^t |E(\lambda, u)| \left\| \frac{\hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) - \hat{f}(\lambda, t-u)}{h} \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. \left. \left. - \widehat{f}'(\lambda, t-u) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} du \right)^2 dt \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\ & \leq T \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2(\theta+1-\epsilon)} \left( \int_0^T E(\lambda, u) du \right)^2 \left( \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\hat{f}(\lambda, t+h-u) - \hat{f}(\lambda, t-u)}{h} \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. - \widehat{f}'(\lambda, t-u) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 du \right) d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and since  $|E(\lambda, u)| \leq \frac{K}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon} u^{1-\alpha\epsilon}}$  (see (4)), one finally gets, using the fact that  $f \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} K_h^2 & \leq T \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{2\theta} \left( \int_0^T \frac{du}{u^{1-\alpha\epsilon}} \right)^2 \left( \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\hat{f}(\lambda, u+h) - \hat{f}(\lambda, u)}{h} - \widehat{f}'(\lambda, u) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 du \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\ & \leq K_T \int_0^T \left\| \frac{f(u+h) - f(u)}{h} - f'(u) \right\|_{D_\theta}^2 du \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In view of the assumption  $f \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$  made in Proposition 4, we need the below given version of Mainardi’s [34] result quoted in our Proposition 1:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then, the function  $u_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , defined below for any  $t \geq 0$*

$$u_\lambda(t) = (E(\lambda) * f)(t) \tag{5}$$

solves the equations

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{u'_\lambda(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds = -\lambda u_\lambda(t) + f(t), \quad u_\lambda(0) = 0. \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Consider the application  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \xrightarrow{\Phi} L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,

$$f \mapsto \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{u'(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds + \lambda u - f$$

with  $u = E(\lambda) * f$ . We prove in the following that  $\Phi = 0$ . Notice first  $\Phi$  is a properly defined mapping. Indeed, using arguments similar in nature to those presented in Proposition 4 one shows that for  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Moreover, since  $E(\lambda) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , one gets  $\Phi(f) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Next, observe that  $\forall f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\Phi(f) = 0$  (see Proposition 1), and that  $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is dense in  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . One needs to prove that  $\Phi$  is continuous. Observe first that  $u' = E(\lambda) * f' + E(\lambda)f(0)$  (proof identical to the one given in Proposition 4). One then has, using Proposition 2,

$$\|\Phi(f)\|_{1,[0,T]} \leq K \left\| \frac{1}{s^\alpha} \right\|_{1,[0,T]} (\|f'\|_{1,[0,T]} + |f(0)|) + \|f\|_{1,[0,T]}.$$

However,  $W^{1,1}([0, T]) \hookrightarrow L^\infty([0, T])$ . Hence

$$\|\Phi(f)\|_{1,[0,T]} \leq K (\|f'\|_{1,[0,T]} + \|f\|_{W^{1,1}([0,T])}) + \|f\|_{1,[0,T]}.$$

It follows that  $\Phi = 0$ , ending the proof of the first Eq. (6). The proof for  $u_\lambda(0) = 0$  can be patterned after the proof of Proposition 1.  $\square$

Before proving the existence Theorem 2, we first state the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for any  $\varphi \in D_{-\theta}$ ,  $(\hat{f}(\lambda)|\hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $(\hat{f}(\lambda)|\hat{\varphi}(\lambda))'_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} = (\hat{f}'(\lambda)|\hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}$ , for  $\mu$  almost every  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T > 0$ . Notice first that

$$\int_0^T \left\| \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} - f'(t) \right\|_{D_\theta}^2 dt \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0$$

insofar  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\hat{f}(t+h, \lambda) - \hat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h} - \hat{f}'(t, \lambda) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 \lambda^{2\theta} dt d\mu(\lambda) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0. \quad (7)$$

Consequently,  $\int_0^T \left\| \frac{\widehat{f}(t+h, \lambda) - \widehat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 dt \leq K(\lambda) < +\infty$  for  $\mu$  almost every  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . It follows that, for any  $\varphi \in D_{-\theta}$  and  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  such that  $\text{supp } \rho \subset [0, T]$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \int_0^T \left( \frac{\widehat{f}(t+h, \lambda) - \widehat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h} \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \rho(t) dt \right]^2 \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T \left| \left( \frac{\widehat{f}(t+h, \lambda) - \widehat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h} \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \right|^2 dt \right) \left( \int_0^T \rho^2(t) dt \right) \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\widehat{f}(t+h, \lambda) - \widehat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 \|\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 dt \right) \left( \int_0^T \rho^2(t) dt \right) \\ & \leq K(\lambda) \|\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}^2 \|\rho\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

However, for  $h > 0$  small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \frac{\widehat{f}(t+h, \lambda) - \widehat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h} \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \rho(t) dt \\ & = \int_0^T \left( \widehat{f}(t, \lambda) \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \frac{\rho(t-h) - \rho(t)}{h} dt \\ & \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} - \int_0^T \left( \widehat{f}(t, \lambda) \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \rho'(t) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Invoking Eqs. (8) and (9) leads to

$$\left| \int_0^T \left( \widehat{f}(t, \lambda) \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \rho'(t) dt \right| \leq M(\lambda) \|\rho\|_2,$$

which implies further that  $(\widehat{f}(\lambda) \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))' \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  for  $\mu$  almost every  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ .

From Eq. (7) one obtains

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_0^T \left( \frac{\widehat{f}(t+h, \lambda) - \widehat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h} - \widehat{f}'(t, \lambda) \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \rho(t) dt \right] d\mu(\lambda) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Therefore, there exists  $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $h_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0$  such that, for  $\mu$  almost every  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ,

$$\int_0^T \left( \frac{\widehat{f}(t+h_k, \lambda) - \widehat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h_k} - \widehat{f}'(t, \lambda) \middle| \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \rho(t) dt \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

However,

$$\int_0^T \left( \frac{\widehat{f}(t+h_k, \lambda) - \widehat{f}(t, \lambda)}{h_k} \mid \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right)_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \rho(t) dt$$

$$\xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T (\widehat{f}(t, \lambda) \mid \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))'_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \rho(t) dt.$$

Therefore, for  $\mu$  almost every  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$

$$\int_0^T [(\widehat{f}(t, \lambda) \mid \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))'_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} - (\widehat{f}'(t, \lambda) \mid \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}] \rho(t) dt = 0$$

and

$$(\widehat{f}(t, \lambda) \mid \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))'_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} = (\widehat{f}'(t, \lambda) \mid \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)},$$

$t > 0$ , which ends the proof.  $\square$

In the following we again use the Caputo fractional derivative:

$$\frac{d^\alpha u}{dt^\alpha} := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{u'(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds.$$

Using the eigenequations solved by  $\widehat{u}$  and the above results, one deduces that  $u$  solves Eqs. (1):

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $A$  a self-adjoint operator with domain  $D(A) \subset H$  and satisfying properties (i), (ii) of Section 2. Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$  and  $u_0 \in D_{\theta+1}$ . Then the equations*

$$\frac{d^\alpha u}{dt^\alpha} + (Au)(t) = f(t), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (10)$$

have a solution  $u$  such that  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, D_{\theta+1}) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$ , with  $\widehat{u}(\lambda) = E(\lambda) * [\widehat{f}(\lambda) - \widehat{Au}_0(\lambda)]$ .

Moreover, for any  $\epsilon \in ]0, 1[$  and  $r \in [1, 2] \cap [1, \frac{1}{1-\epsilon\alpha}[$ , one has  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_{\theta+1-\epsilon})$ .

*Proof.* The fact that  $u$  given by  $\widehat{u}(\lambda) = E(\lambda) * [\widehat{f}(\lambda) - \widehat{Au}_0(\lambda)]$  satisfies  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, D_{\theta+1}) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_{\theta+1-\epsilon})$  follows from Propositions 3 and 4.

It is sufficient to prove the remaining part of the theorem only for  $u_0 = 0$ .

Let  $\varphi \in D_{-\theta}$ . Since  $u' \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, D_\theta)$  (see Proposition 4), the following calculations are justified. One has:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left\langle \int_0^t \frac{u'(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds, \varphi \right\rangle + \langle Au(t), \varphi \rangle - \langle f(t), \varphi \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \langle u'(s), \varphi \rangle \frac{ds}{(t-s)^\alpha} + \langle Au(t), \varphi \rangle - \langle f(t), \varphi \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^t (\widehat{u}'(\lambda, s) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \frac{ds}{(t-s)^\alpha} \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (\lambda \widehat{u}(\lambda, t) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda) - \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (\widehat{f}(\lambda, t) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 4}}{=} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_0^t (E(\lambda, s) \widehat{f}(\lambda, 0) + (E(\lambda) * \widehat{f}'(\lambda))(s) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \frac{ds}{(t-s)^\alpha} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (\lambda \widehat{u}(\lambda, t) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} - \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (\widehat{f}(\lambda, t) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 2}}{=} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_0^t [E(\lambda, s) (\widehat{f}(\lambda, 0) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + E(\lambda) * (\widehat{f}'(\lambda) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))'_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}] \frac{ds}{(t-s)^\alpha} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (\lambda \widehat{u}(\lambda, t) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} - \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (\widehat{f}(\lambda, t) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_0^t [E(\lambda) * (\widehat{f}'(\lambda) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}]'(s) \frac{ds}{(t-s)^\alpha} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \lambda E(\lambda) * (\widehat{f}'(\lambda) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)}(t) d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &\quad - \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} (\widehat{f}(\lambda, t) | \hat{\varphi}(\lambda))_{\mathcal{H}(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{Eqs. (5), (6)}}{=} 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $u$  satisfies Eq. (10). Equation  $u(0) = 0$  is a consequence of Eqs. (6). This ends the proof.  $\square$

Consider for instance the case of a bounded domain  $\Omega$  with smooth boundary. When  $A$  is a strongly elliptic second order operator as described in the Introduction section, one can choose  $H = L^2(\Omega)$  and  $D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Notice that  $D_0 = H = L^2(\Omega)$  and  $D_1 = D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Therefore, for  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\Omega))$  and  $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ , Theorem 2 ensures that the initial value problem (1) has a strong solution  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\Omega))$  given by  $\hat{u}(\lambda) = E(\lambda) * [\hat{f}(\lambda) - \widehat{Au_0}(\lambda)]$ . The last relationship is equivalent to Eq. (40) in [35].

## Aknowledgements

It is a great pleasure for both authors to contribute a paper in honor of Professor Kumbakonam R. Rajagopal's 60th anniversary.

I (L.I. Palade) was very fortunate, while being a year long postdoctoral research associate at Texas A&M University in 2001, to have Raj as an advisor. He continued to grant me friendly support after I left TAMU as well. My stay there was productive and an intellectual delight.

The authors thank the Referees for their valuable comments and Professor Emilia Bazhlekova, Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, for kindly providing reference [24].

## References

1. R. Metzler, T.F. Nonnenmacher, Fractional diffusion: Exact representations of spectral functions, *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.*, **30**, pp. 1089–1093, 1997.
2. R. Dautray, J.-L. Lions, *Analyse mathématique et calcul numérique pour les sciences et les techniques, Vol. 5, Problèmes d'évolution*, INSTN CEA Collection Enseignement, Masson, Paris, 1988.
3. A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
4. F. Mainardi, *Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity. An Introduction to Mathematical Models*, Imperial College Press, London, 2010.
5. K.S. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1993.
6. I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations: An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
7. S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1993.

8. R.G. Larson, *Constitutive Equations for Polymer Melts and Solutions*, Butterworths, Boston, 1988.
9. F.A. Morrison, *Understanding Rheology*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2001.
10. S. Cleja-Țigoiu, V. Țigoiu, *Rheology and Thermodynamics, Part I. Rheology*, Editura Universității din București, Bucharest, 1998.
11. C. Truesdell, K.R. Rajagopal, *An Introduction to the Mechanics of Fluids*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
12. M. Athar, C. Fetecau, M. Kamran, A. Sohail, M. Imran, Exact solutions for unsteady axial Couette flow of a fractional Maxwell fluid due to an accelerated shear, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **16**(2), pp. 135–151, 2011.
13. J.F. Douglas, Some applications of fractional calculus to polymer science, in: *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, Vol. 102, John Wiley & Sons, 1997, pp. 121–191.
14. J.F. Douglas, Polymer science applications of path-integration, integral equations, and fractional calculus, in: R. Hilfer (Ed.), *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
15. C.S. Drapaca, S. Srivaloganathan, A fractional model of continuum mechanics, *J. Elasticity*, **107**, pp. 105–123, 2012.
16. A. Drozdov, *Viscoelastic Structures: Mechanics of Growth and Aging, Viscoelastic structures, Mechanics of growth and aging*, Academic Press, New York, 1998.
17. C. Fetecau, C. Fetecau, M. Jamil, A. Mahmood, Flow of fractional Maxwell fluid between coaxial cylinders, *Arch. Appl. Mech.*, **81**(8), pp. 1153–1163, 2011.
18. A. Heibig, L.I. Palade, On the rest state stability of an objective fractional derivative viscoelastic fluid model, *J. Math. Phys.*, **49**(4), 043101, 2008.
19. A. Heibig, L.I. Palade, Well posedness of a linearized fractional derivative fluid model, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **380**(1), pp. 188–203, 2011.
20. L.I. Palade, V. Verney, P. Attané, A modified fractional model to describe the entire viscoelastic behavior of polybutadienes from flow to glassy regime, *Rheol. Acta*, **35**(3), pp. 265–273, 1996.
21. L.I. Palade, P. Attané, R.R. Huilgol, B. Mena, Anomalous stability behavior of a properly invariant constitutive equation which generalises fractional derivative models, *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, **37**(3), pp. 315–329, 1999.
22. Y.A. Rossikhin, M.V. Shitikova, Applications of fractional calculus to dynamic problems of linear and nonlinear hereditary mechanics of solids, *Appl. Mech. Rev.*, **50**(1), pp. 15–67, 1997.
23. K.L. Ngai, *Relaxation and Diffusion in Complex Systems*, Springer, New York, 2011.
24. E.G. Bajlekova, *Fractional Evolution Equations in Banach Spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2001.
25. G. Da Prato, M. Iannelli, Linear integro-differential equations in Banach spaces, *Rend. Semin. Univ. Mat. Padova*, **62**, pp. 207–219, 1980.

26. A. Heibig, Existence of solutions for a fractional derivative system of equations, *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, **72**(4), pp. 483–508, 2012, doi:10.1007/s00020-012-1950-3.
27. R. Figueiredo Camargo, R. Charnet, E. Capelas de Oliveira, On some fractional Green's functions, *J. Math. Phys.*, **50**(4), 043514, 2009.
28. N. Ozdemir, D. Karadeniz, Fractional diffusion-wave problem in cylindrical coordinates, *Phys. Lett., A*, **372**(38), pp. 5968–5972, 2008.
29. N. Ozdemir, O.P. Agrawal, B.B. Iskender, D. Karadeniz, Fractional optimal control of a 2-dimensional distributed system using eigenfunctions, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, **55**(3), pp. 251–260, 2009.
30. N. Ozdemir, D. Karadeniz, Fractional optimal control problem of a distributed system in cylindrical coordinates, *Phys. Lett., A*, **373**(2), pp. 221–226, 2009.
31. R.P. Agarwal, M. Benchora, S. Hamani, A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **109**, pp. 973–1033, 2010.
32. M. Caputo, Linear models of dissipation whose  $Q$  is almost frequency independent, Part II, *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, **13**, pp. 529–539, 1967.
33. M. Caputo, *Elasticita e dissipazione*, Zanichelli, Bologna, 1969.
34. A. Carpinteri, F. Mainardi, *Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics*, Springer, New York, 1997.
35. Y. Luchko, Some uniqueness and existence results for the initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **59**(5), pp. 1766–1772, 2010.