

ON WARING'S PROBLEM FOR A PRIME MODULUS

A. Dubickas

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24,
LT-2006 Vilnius, Lithuania

e-mail: *arturas.dubickas@maf.vu.lt*

Abstract

We obtain a lower bound for the minimum over positive integers such that the sum of certain powers of some integers is divisible by a prime number, but none of these integers is divisible by this prime number.

Keywords: Waring's problem modulo prime number.

Let $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer and let p be a prime number. We put $\gamma(k, p)$ for the smallest γ such that for any integer x the congruence

$$x \equiv x_1^k + x_2^k + \dots + x_\gamma^k \pmod{p}$$

is solvable in integers $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\gamma$. The problem of finding $\gamma(k, p)$ is called *Waring's problem modulo p* . Let also $\theta(k, p)$ be the smallest θ such that the congruence

$$x_1^k + x_2^k + \dots + x_\theta^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

has a nontrivial solution, i. e. not all x_j are divisible by p .

Notice firstly that substituting $x = -1$ into the first congruence we obtain

$$\theta(k, p) \leq \gamma(k, p) + 1. \tag{1}$$

Secondly, if d is the greatest common divisor of k and $p - 1$ then $\gamma(k, p) = \gamma(d, p)$ and $\theta(k, p) = \theta(d, p)$. Therefore, without loss of generality we can assume that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$.

In 1927, G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood [8] proved that

$$\gamma(k, p) \leq k. \tag{2}$$

For $p = k + 1$ we have $\gamma(k, p) = k$, so that the inequality (2) cannot be improved in general. However, if p is large compared to k the upper bound (2) can be

strengthened. In 1971, M. M. Dodson [5] showed that $\gamma(k, p) < c_1 \log k$ if $p > k^2$ (here and below c_1, c_2, \dots are some positive constants). Various improvements of (2) were also obtained by M. M. Dodson and A. Tietäväinen [6], J. D. Bovey [1], A. Garsia and J. F. Voloch [7]. By (1) all these results imply that the inequality

$$\theta(k, p) \leq k + 1 \tag{3}$$

can be strengthened for $p > k+1$. The inequalities better than (3) were obtained by S. Chowla, H. B. Mann and E. G. Straus [3], I. Chowla [2]. In 1975, A. Tietäväinen [12] proved that $\theta(k, p) \leq c_2(\varepsilon)k^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for $p > k + 1$.

Using E. Dobrowolski's work on Lehmer's conjecture [4] S. V. Konyagin [10] obtained new estimate for Gaussian sums which implies new upper bounds for $\gamma(k, p)$ and $\theta(k, p)$. In particular, he proved [10, Theorem 3] the inequality

$$\theta(k, p) \leq c_3(\varepsilon)(\log k)^{2+\varepsilon}$$

for $p > k + 1$ which gives an affirmative answer to Heilbronn's question [9]. Moreover, he conjectured that a stronger inequality $\theta(k, p) \leq c_4 \log k$ holds and gave lower bounds on $\gamma(k, p)$ [10, Theorem 4] and $\theta(k, p)$ [10, Theorem 5] for an infinite set of values k and p .

Our principal objective in this paper is to illustrate some of the techniques used in the proof of [10, Theorem 5] and at the same time make a contribution to the subject by improving slightly the lower bound on $\theta(k, p)$ and giving more precise information on primes p for which this lower bound holds.

Suppose $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [1; \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function. Let k be a sufficiently large positive integer. We will consider three cases:

- i) $f(k) \leq \log k / 2 \log \log k$,
- ii) $\log k / 2 \log \log k < f(k) < 2 \log k$,
- iii) $2 \log k \leq f(k) \leq (\log k)^A$ for some $A > 1$.

THEOREM. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist infinitely many positive integers k and primes p such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$,*

$$k \max \left\{ f(k); \frac{\log k}{2 \log \log k} \right\} \leq p \leq (1 + \varepsilon)k \max \left\{ f(k); \frac{\log k}{2 \log \log k} \right\}$$

and

- 1) $\theta(k, p) > \log k / 2 \log \log k$ in case i),
- 2) $\theta(k, p) > f(k) / 6$ in case ii),
- 3) $\theta(k, p) > \log k / 5 \log (f(k) / \log k)$ in case iii).

REMARK. Taking, e.g., $f(k) = (\log k)^A$ with $A > 1$ (case iii) we obtain

$$\theta(k, p) > \frac{\log k}{5(A-1) \log \log k},$$

whereas [10, Theorem 5] gives $\theta(k, p) > (\log k)^{1-\varepsilon}$.

Note that by (1) the lower bounds for $\theta(k, p)$ imply the lower bounds for $\gamma(k, p)$ of the same shape.

Proof of the theorem. Let us fix a number $\varrho > 1$ and let $f(x) = f([x])$ for $x \in [1; \infty)$. We will show first that there exist infinitely many $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(\varrho s) < \varrho f(s)$. This will allow us to replace the function of the form $f(k) = (\log k)^A$ used in [10] by an arbitrary nondecreasing function satisfying i), ii) or iii). Indeed, suppose that $f(\varrho s) \geq \varrho f(s)$ for all $s \geq s_0$. Then

$$1 \leq f(s_0) \leq \frac{1}{\varrho} f(\varrho s_0) \leq \dots \leq \frac{1}{\varrho^m} f(\varrho^m s_0) \leq \frac{(\log \varrho^m s_0)^A}{\varrho^m} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for all sufficiently large m , a contradiction.

Let s be one of these. We will show that there is an integer k , $s \leq k \leq \varrho s$, for which the statement of the theorem holds. Suppose t is a smallest prime greater or equal than $\max\{\varrho f(\varrho s); \varrho \log(\varrho s)/2 \log \log(\varrho s)\}$.

Now we will estimate the number of primes in the arithmetic progression

$$A(s, t, \varrho) = \{st + 1, (s+1)t + 1, \dots, [\varrho s]t + 1\}.$$

Suppose $p = kt + 1$ is a prime in $A(s, t, \varrho)$ and let α be a primitive root modulo p . Put $\beta = \alpha^k$. Clearly, $\beta^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and each number x^k modulo p is congruent to one of the numbers $0, 1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^{t-1}$. If $\theta(k, p) \leq \theta_0$, there is a set of nonnegative integers l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{t-1} such that

$$0 < l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_{t-1} \leq \theta_0 \tag{4}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} l_j \beta^j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \tag{5}$$

Let

$$P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} l_j z^j$$

be a polynomial corresponding to a fixed set l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{t-1} . Consider the resultant of $P(z)$ and $Q(z) = 1 + z + \dots + z^{t-1}$. If θ_0 is equal to the right hand side of (1),

2) or 3), then $\theta_0 < t$. Combining this with the fact that $Q(z)$ is irreducible we get that $\text{Res}(P, Q)$ is a nonzero integer. By Hadamard's inequality

$$|\text{Res}(P, Q)| \leq \theta_0^t t^{t/2} < t^{3t/2}.$$

On the other hand, let p be a prime in $A(s, t, p)$ for which the inequality opposite to 1), 2) or 3) holds and let β be a respective power of a primitive root. Then for at least one of the sets satisfying (4) we have $P(\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (see (5)) and $Q(\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus, p divides $\text{Res}(P, Q)$ for at least one of the polynomials $P(z)$. Suppose there are r such distinct primes which divide $|\text{Res}(P, Q)|$. Then

$$(st + 1)^r < t^{3t/2},$$

and

$$r < \frac{3t \log t}{2 \log s} \leq \frac{3t \log t}{2 \log(k/\varrho)}. \quad (6)$$

In case i) we have

$$\frac{\varrho \log k}{2 \log \log k} \leq t < \frac{\varrho^2 \log k}{2 \log \log k},$$

so that $r < 3\varrho^3/4 < 1$ if ϱ is sufficiently close to 1. This shows that for all primes in $A(s, t, \varrho)$ the inequality 1) holds. The smallest prime in $A(s, t, \varrho)$ is greater than

$$st \geq kt/\varrho \geq k \log k / 2 \log \log k$$

and smaller than

$$\varrho^2 st \leq \varrho^2 kt < \varrho^4 k \log k / 2 \log \log k.$$

This completes the proof of 1), since in case i) we have

$$\max \left\{ f(k); \frac{\log k}{2 \log \log k} \right\} = \frac{\log k}{2 \log \log k}.$$

In cases ii) and iii) the number of sets satisfying (4) is equal to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\theta_0} \binom{j+t-1}{t-1}.$$

By Stirling's formula, this does not exceed

$$\theta_0 \binom{\theta_0 + t}{t} < c_5 \theta_0 \left(1 + \frac{\theta_0}{t}\right)^t \left(1 + \frac{t}{\theta_0}\right)^{\theta_0} < c_5 \theta_0 \exp\left(\theta_0 \log\left(\epsilon(1 + t/\theta_0)\right)\right).$$

Hence, the number of primes in $A(s, t, \varrho)$ for which the inequality opposite to 2) (or 3)) holds is less than (see (6))

$$\frac{3t \log t}{2 \log(k/\varrho)} \sum_{j=1}^{\theta_0} \binom{j+t-1}{t-1} < t^3 \exp\left(\theta_0 \log(e(1+t/\theta_0))\right). \quad (7)$$

In case 2) $\theta_0 = f(k)/6$,

$$t < \varrho^2 f(\varrho s) < \varrho^3 f(s) \leq \varrho^3 f(k) < 2\varrho^3 \log k,$$

so that (7) is less than $k^{0.99}$.

In case 3) $\theta_0 = \log k / 5 \log(f(k)/\log k)$,

$$t < \varrho^3 f(k) < \varrho^3 (\log k)^A,$$

so that (7) is less than

$$\varrho^9 (\log k)^{3A} \exp\left(\frac{\log k (1 + \log(1 + 5\varrho^3 (f(k)/\log k) \log(f(k)/\log k)))}{5 \log(f(k)/\log k)}\right).$$

Since $f(k)/\log k \geq 2$, this expression is less than $k^{0.9}$. In both cases 2) and 3) we see that the number of primes in $A(s, t, \varrho)$ for which the inequality opposite to 2) (or 3)) holds is less than $k^{0.99}$.

By the asymptotic distribution law for primes in arithmetic progressions [11, Theorem 8.3] the set $A(s, t, \varrho)$ contains at least

$$(1 - \delta) \frac{\varrho s t}{\varphi(t) \log(\varrho s t)} - (1 + \delta) \frac{s t}{\varphi(t) \log(s t)} \quad (8)$$

primes for a given $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large s . Since $\varphi(t) = t - 1$ and

$$t < \varrho^2 f(\varrho s) < (\log s)^{A+1},$$

(8) is greater than

$$\frac{s}{(\log s)^2} > k^{0.991}.$$

This proves 2) and 3), since the smallest prime in $A(s, t, \varrho)$ is greater than

$$s t \geq k(f(\varrho s)) \geq k f(k)$$

and smaller than

$$\varrho^2 s t \leq \varrho^2 k t < \varrho^4 k f(\varrho s) < \varrho^5 k f(k).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by Lithuanian State Studies and Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. J. D. Bovey, A new upper bound for Waring's problem (mod p), *Acta Arith.* **32** (1977), pp. 157–162.
2. I. Chowla, On Waring's problem (mod p), *Proc. Ind. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A* **13** (1943), pp. 195–200.
3. S. Chowla, H. B. Mann and E. G. Straus, Some applications of the Cauchy-Davenport theorem, *Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh. Trondheim* **32** (1959), pp. 74–80.
4. E. Dobrowolski, On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial, *Acta Arith.* **34** (1979), pp. 391–401.
5. M. M. Dodson, On Waring's problem in $GF[p]$, *Acta Arith.*, **19** (1971), pp. 147–173.
6. M. M. Dodson and A. Tietäväinen, A note on Waring's problem in $GF[p]$, *Acta Arith.*, **30** (1976), pp. 159–167.
7. A. Garsia and J. F. Voloch, Fermat curves over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* **30** (1988), pp. 345–356.
8. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Some problems of "Partitio Numerorum". VIII: The number $\Gamma(k)$ in Waring's problem, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **28**(2) (1927), pp. 518–542.
9. H. Heilbronn, *Lecture notes on additive number theory mod p* , Calif. Inst. Technology, Pasadena, CA, 1964.
10. S. V. Konyagin, On estimates of Gaussian sums and Waring's problem for a prime modulus, *Proc. Steklov Inst. of Math. Issue 1*, 1994, pp. 105–117.
11. K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
12. A. Tietäväinen, Proof of a conjecture of S. Chowla, *J. Number Theory* **7** (1975), pp. 353–356.

Apie Varingo problemą pirminiam moduliui

A. Dubickas

Straipsnyje gautas įvertis iš apačios p -adžioje Varingo problemoje, kai tam tikra sveikųjų skaičių laipsnių suma dalijasi iš pirminio skaičiaus.

Received: September 30, 1999

Accepted: October 27, 1999